



\$~73

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 3448/2025**

KULBEER @ KULBIR KAJLA & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Rohit and Ms. Sakshi , Advocates
alongwith petitioners in person

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjay Lao, Standing Counsel for
the State
Mr. Lalit Vats and Mr. Akhilesh
Sharma, Advocates for R-2 alongwith
R-2 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

%

17.10.2025

CRL.M.A. 31359/2025 (exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.

W.P.(CRL) 3448/2025

3. By way of the present petition, the petitioners seek quashing of FIR bearing no. 410/2024, registered at Police Station Bawana, Delhi, for the commission of offence punishable under Sections 498A/406/34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereafter 'IPC') and the proceedings emanating from it.
4. Issue notice. Mr. Sanjay Lao, the learned Standing Counsel accepts notice on behalf of the State.



5. All the petitioners and respondent no. 2 are present before this Court and have been identified by their counsels and concerned Investigating Officer (IO) from Police Station Bawana, Delhi.

6. Brief facts of the present case are that the marriage between petitioner no.1 and respondent no. 2 was solemnized on 04.12.2022 according to Hindu rites and ceremonies. No child was born out of the said wedlock. It is stated that due to temperamental differences, dispute had arisen between the petitioners and respondent no. 2, and consequently, petitioner no. 1 and respondent no. 2 had started living separately since 26.06.2023. It is further stated that on the complaint of respondent no. 2, the present FIR was registered against the petitioners under the relevant sections. However, it is stated that during pendency of the case, both the parties had amicably settled their disputes *vide* Memorandum of Understanding dated 01.03.2025 and had obtained decree of divorce by way of mutual consent from the concerned Court.

7. On a query made by this Court, respondent no.2, who has been identified by the IO, has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise out of her own free will and without any pressure, coercion or threat. It is also stated by respondent no.2 that the entire dispute has now been amicably settled between the parties. Therefore, it is stated that she has no objection, if the present FIR is quashed.

8. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent no. 2 states that an amount of Rs.1,26,000/- towards last and final instalment, as per the settlement, has been received by respondent no.2, directly in her bank account.

9. In view of the above fact that the parties have amicably resolved their



differences of their own free will, and without any coercion, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. It would thus be in interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

10. Accordingly, FIR bearing no. 410/2024, registered at Police Station Bawana, Delhi, for the commission of offence punishable under Sections 498A/406/34 of IPC, and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

11. In view of above, the present petition stands disposed of.

12. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

OCTOBER 17, 2025/ns