



\$~73

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 7472/2025**

CHEERAG KAPLISH & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Petitioners with their counsel Mr.
Vineet Kumar Chaudhary and Mr
Santosh Chaudhary, Advs.

Versus

STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr. Rajkumar, APP for the State.
R-2 with her counsel Ms.Anita , Mr.
Ankit Kr Tyagi, Mr Dev Bharti, Mr
Dev Suman Mohanpuria, Ms.Swati
R.K and Ms. Swati Puri, AdvS.

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

%

28.10.2025

CRL.M.A. 31293/2025 (exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application standS disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 7472/2025

3. By way of present petition, the petitioners seek quashing of FIR bearing no. 285/2015, registered at Police Station Moti Nagar, Delhi for the commission of offences punishable under Sections 498A/406/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereafter 'IPC').
4. The petitioners and respondent no. 2 are present before this Court. They have been identified by their counsels and concerned Investigating



Officer (IO) from Police Station Moti Nagar, Delhi.

5. Brief facts of the case are that the marriage between petitioner no. 1 and respondent no. 2 was solemnized at New Delhi on 03.12.2017, in accordance with Hindu rites and ceremonies. It is stated that due to various differences, disputes and issues had arisen between the petitioners and respondent no. 2. Upon the complaint by respondent no. 2, the present FIR came to be registered against the petitioners. It is stated that the parties have now amicably settled their dispute before the learned Additional Sessions Judge-09, Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi *vide* Settlement dated 29.03.2022.

6. On a query made by this Court, respondent no. 2 who has been identified by the IO, has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise out of her own free will and without any pressure, coercion or threat. A demand draft bearing No. 052853, dated 24.10.2025, drawn on State Bank of India, for an amount of ₹21 lakhs has been handed to respondent no. 2 by the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners towards the balance settlement amount. Therefore, respondent no. 2 has no objection if the present FIR is quashed.

7. In view of the above facts that the parties have amicably resolved their differences of their own free will, and without any coercion, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. It would thus be in interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

8. Accordingly, FIR bearing No. 285/2015, registered at Police Station Moti Nagar, Delhi for the commission of offences punishable under Sections 498A/406/34 of the IPC and all consequential proceedings emanating



therefrom are quashed.

9. In view of the above, the present petition stands disposed of.

10. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

OCTOBER 28, 2025/A