



\$~77

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 7456/2025**

SAMPADA GARG AND ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Ankit Gupta, Advocate alongwith
petitioners in person

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Hitesh Vali, APP for the State
Mr. Prateek Goswami, Mr. Sahil Giri
and Ms. Chelci Chahar, Advocates for
R-2 alongwith R-2 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

% **17.10.2025**

CRL.M.A. 31210/2025 (exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 7456/2025

3. By way of the present petition, the petitioners seek quashing of the FIR bearing No. 486/2024, registered at Police Station Subhash Place, Delhi, for the commission of offences punishable under Sections 74/75/79/115(2)/351(2)/3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (hereafter '*BNS*').
4. Issue notice. Mr. Hitesh Vali, the learned APP accepts notice on behalf of the State.



5. All the petitioners and respondent no. 2 are present before this Court and have been identified by their counsel and Investigating Officer (IO) concerned from Police Station Subhash Place, Delhi.

6. Brief facts of the case are that the marriage between petitioner no. 1 (wife) and brother-in-law of respondent no. 2 was solemnized at New Delhi on 05.03.2018, in accordance with Hindu rites and customs. No child was born out of the said wedlock. It is stated that due to indifferent attitudes and temperamental differences, disputes and issues had arisen between them. Upon a complaint filed by respondent no. 2, the present FIR had been registered against the petitioners under the relevant sections.

7. It is further stated that with intervention of the family members, friends and relatives, the parties have now amicably settled their dispute vide Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) dated 22.01.2025, arrived at between them.

8. On a query made by this Court, respondent no. 2 who has been identified by the IO, has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise out of her own free will and without any pressure, coercion or threat. Therefore, she has no objection if the present FIR is quashed.

9. This Court notes that the present FIR is the outcome of a matrimonial dispute between petitioner no.1 and brother-in-law of respondent no. 2, *qua* which an FIR No. 1037/2024 was also registered for commission of offence punishable under Sections 498A/406/34 of IPC. However, on the basis of the settlement, the said FIR has been quashed today by this Court, in W.P. (CRL) No.3446/2025.

10. In view of the above, that the parties have amicably resolved their differences of their own free will, and without any coercion, no useful



purpose will be served by continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. It would thus be in interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

11. Accordingly, FIR bearing No. 486/2024, registered at Police Station Subhash Place, Delhi, for the commission of offences punishable under Sections 74/75/79/115(2)/351(2)/3(5) of BNS and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

12. In view of above, the present petition stands disposed of.

13. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

OCTOBER 17, 2025/ns