



\$~12

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

W.P.(CRL) 3415/2025 & CRL.M.A. 31062/2025

SURAJ CHAUHAN ALIAS BITTOPetitioner

Through: Mr. Aman Panwar, Adv.
(through VC)
Mr. Abhinav Kumar, Mr.
Manav Kaushik and Ms.
Rishika Choudhary, Advs.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHIRespondent

Through: Ms.Rupali Bandhopadhyia,
ASC for the State with
Ms. Amisha Gupta, Adv.
with Insp. S. Ram, PS
Vasant Vihar.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN

ORDER

%

12.11.2025

1. By the present petition, the petitioner seeks following prayers :

- a) *Issue a writ or order in the nature of Certiorari quashing the Parole rejecting order No. F. 18 /270/2012/HG/2947 DATED 26/11/2024 as passed by the Respondent;*
- b) *Issue a writ or order in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondent to release the petitioner on first spell of furlough for a period of 90 Days in case FIR No. 191/2008, PS: Vasant Vihar, Delhi, Under Section: 302/ IPC;*
- c) *Pass any other order or further orders, which this Hon'ble Court deems fit and proper in the interest of justice;*



2. The petitioner's application seeking parole was rejected citing Rule 1210 (II) & (IV) of Delhi Prison Rules, 2018. The same provides that in order to be entitled for being released on parole or furlough, the conduct of the prisoner, who has been awarded major punishment, should have been uniformly good for last two years from the date of application and in case of award of minor punishment, the conduct of the prisoner should have been uniformly good for last one year from the date of application and that the convict should not have violated the terms and conditions of the parole or furlough granted previously.

3. The Nominal Roll indicates that the petitioner, when released on furlough on the last occasion, surrendered late by three days, for which a minor punishment of warning by the Superintendent was awarded.

4. No other misconduct of the petitioner has been pointed out.

5. It is not disputed that the petitioner surrendered on 30.07.2024.

6. The Nominal Roll, therefore, indicates that the petitioner's conduct has been satisfactory for the last one year from today.

7. The violation of the condition of furlough was not surrendering on time, for which a minor punishment was awarded. No other impediment has been pointed out by the respondent in release of the petitioner on parole.

8. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with direction to the respondent authorities to treat the present



petition as an application seeking parole and pass an appropriate order within a period of four weeks, keeping in view the observations made by this Court. Pending application also stands disposed of.

AMIT MAHAJAN, J

NOVEMBER 12, 2025

'KD'