



\$~42

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **FAO(OS) 120/2025, CM APPL. 65468/2025 (Stay), CM APPL. 65469/2025 (Ex. from filing a certified copy of the supporting documents) & CM APPL. 65470/2025 (Ex. from filing the entire TCR record)**

ROHIT BHATIA & ANR.Appellants
Through: Mr. Tanmay Mehta, Mr.
Rakesh Wadhwa and Mr. Sahil
Sharma, Advocates.
versus

**RAMESH PAL BHATIA (THROUGH ITS LEGAL HEIRS) &
ORS.**Respondents
Through: None.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH VAIDYANATHAN
SHANKAR

ORDER
16.10.2025

%

1. The present Appeal, under Section 10 of the Delhi High Court Act, 1966, has been filed impugning the Judgement dated 17.09.2025 passed by the learned Single Judge in CS(OS) No. 123/2024.
2. After arguing at some length, learned counsel for the Appellants seeks liberty of this Court to withdraw the present Appeal. However, he submits that the Appellants were required to furnish an appropriate surety to the tune of Rs. 37 Lakhs within a period of 30 days from the date of the Impugned Judgement. He further prays that the period for furnishing the same be extended.
3. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, the period to furnish the appropriate securities is extended by another three weeks from today.
4. Accordingly, the present Appeal is dismissed as withdrawn.



5. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.

HARISH VAIDYANATHAN SHANKAR, J.
OCTOBER 16, 2025/nd/va