



\$~84

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 7433/2025

AJAY ALIAS AJJU

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Aditya Kumar,  
Advocate.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR. ....Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjeev Sabharwal, APP  
for the State with SI Vivek,  
PS Neb Sarai.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN**

**ORDER**

%

**16.10.2025**

1. The present petition is filed by the petitioner seeking quashing of FIR No. 663/2016 dated 14.08.2016, registered at Police Station Neb Sarai, for the offences under Sections 354B/506/509 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('IPC') on the ground that the disputes have since been settled between the parties.
2. The complainant is present in the Court and states that she does not wish to pursue the proceedings of the present FIR since she has settled with the petitioner.
3. It is pointed out by the prosecution that the petitioner has multiple criminal antecedents and ten other FIRs have been registered against him apart from the present FIR. Some of the petitioner's prior involvements are also for the offences under Sections 354/354B/354D of the IPC. The details of the other criminal involvements of the petitioner are as under:

| FIR No. | Police | Under Sections |
|---------|--------|----------------|
|---------|--------|----------------|



|          | Station   |                                                |
|----------|-----------|------------------------------------------------|
| 249/2021 | Tigri     | 323/341/506/509/34 IPC                         |
| 415/2016 | Neb Sarai | 354B/323/506/509/427/34 IPC                    |
| 175/2016 | Neb Sarai | 354D/509/34 IPC & 12 POCSO Act                 |
| 862/2016 | Neb Sarai | 394 IPC                                        |
| 688/2016 | Neb Sarai | 323/341/34 IPC                                 |
| 291/2017 | Neb Sarai | 307/34 IPC                                     |
| 04/2017  | Neb Sarai | 394/397/34 IPC                                 |
| 297/2018 | Neb Sarai | 337 IPC & 25/27 Arms Act                       |
| 456/2018 | Neb Sarai | 394/397/34 IPC                                 |
| 248/2021 | Tigri     | 323/341/354/365/392/452/506/509/120B/34<br>IPC |

4. It is apparent from the above that the petitioner is involved in multiple cases of serious nature. Looking at the character of the petitioner, the possibility of the victim being pressurised cannot be ruled out.

5. Even otherwise, while it is settled law that this Court can quash non-compoundable offences in exercise of its inherent power, considering that the petitioner seems to be a history sheeter of notorious character, this Court does not consider it apposite to exercise the discretionary remedy in his favour merely because the complainant has since settled the dispute.

6. The petition is therefore dismissed in the aforesaid terms.

**AMIT MAHAJAN, J**

**OCTOBER 16, 2025**

*DV*