



\$~76

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 7413/2025, CRL.M.A. 31081/2025**

MOHIT CHAUHAN & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Anuj Chaturvedi, Advocate with
Petitioners in person.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Utkarsh, APP
Mr. Pawan Karan Deo, Advocate for
R-2 with Respondent No.2 in person
(father of deceased).

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

%

16.10.2025

1. Petition under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 ('BNSS') has been filed on behalf of the Petitioners, for quashing of FIR No.0429/2025 under Sections 106/288 BNS, 2023, registered at PS: Kalindi Kunj, Delhi and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom, in terms of Settlement Deed dated 24.09.2025.
2. Learned APP for the State appears on advance Notice, accepts Notice.
3. Brief facts of the case are that on 03.07.2025, part of boundary wall of plot of the Petitioners, which was under-construction, collapsed and fell on the deceased child, resulting her death.
4. On 04.07.2025, on Complaint of Respondent No.2 (father of deceased child Shomona Khatun, aged about 13 years), FIR No.0429/2025 under Sections 106/288 BNS, 2023 was registered at PS: Kalindi Kunj, Delhi.
5. It is submitted that with the intervention of family members, relatives



and well wishers, the Petitioners and Respondent No.2 have amicably settled all the disputes and differences between them vide Settlement Deed dated 24.09.2025.

6. Respondent No.2 submits that as per the Settlement Deed dated 24.09.2025, he has to receive total compensation of Rs.10,00,000/-, out of which Rs.5,00,000/- he had already received and DD No.015555 dated 16.10.2025 drawn on Axis Bank in favour of Respondent No.2 of balance amount of Rs.5,00,000/- has been handed over to him.

7. The parties are present in the Court and have been identified by the IO. The parties submit that they have arrived at the settlement without any force, coercion and undue influence and pressure and they have signed the Settlement Deed dated 24.09.2025 with their wish and will.

8. Learned Counsel for Petitioners submits that the parties have no grievance against each other. Since Respondent No.2 has already made a statement before the Court that he does not want to pursue the proceedings in FIR, the present Petition be allowed and FIR No.0429/2025 be quashed.

9. In view of Settlement Deed dated 24.09.2025, present Petition has been filed.

10. Respondent No.2 is present and submits that he has no grievance against the Petitioners and also no objection to the quashing of FIR.

11. In view of the fact that the FIR in question was premised upon collapse of boundary wall of plot, owned by Petitioners, on daughter of Respondent No.2, which has been amicably resolved in terms of Settlement Deed dated 24.09.2025. Considering the fact that parties have given no objection to the quashing of FIR, no fruitful purpose would be served in continuing with the proceedings arising out of the FIR.



12. Consequently, FIR No.0429/2025 under Sections 106/288 BNS, 2023, registered at PS: Kalindi Kunj, Delhi and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

13. The Petition along with pending Application is disposed of.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J.

OCTOBER 16, 2025/R