



\$~84

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.M.C.7373/2025 & CRL.M.A.30938/2025**

MR. NITIN SHARMA & ORS.Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rajan Kumar Prasad, Mayank
Sharma, Nisha, Nitish Prasad, Ravi
Kant, Advocates.

versus

STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.Respondent

Through: Mr. Satish Kumar, APP for State with
Ms. Upasna Bakshi, Advocate and SI
Kamlesh.
Ms. Pratika Jha, Advocate for R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

%

28.10.2025

1. A Petition under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter referred to as 'B.N.S.S.') has been filed on behalf of the Petitioners, for quashing of FIR No.301/2025 under Section 498A/406/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereinafter referred to as 'IPC') registered at Police Station Saket and all the proceedings emanating therefrom.

2. Brief facts of the case are that the marriage was solemnized between petitioner No. 1 and respondent No. 2 on 26.01.2008 according to Hindu rites and ceremonies. The marriage was duly consummated and out of the wedlock three children were born. Due to some misunderstanding the Respondent No.2 made Complaint against the Petitioners herein which resulted in registration of FIR.

3. It is stated that the matter has been amicably settled between the



petitioners and Respondent No.2 vide Settlement Agreement dated 09.10.2025, whereby Petitioner No.1 and Respondent No.2 have decided to reconcile their marriage and to live together as husband and wife.

4. In view of the Compromise Deed dated 09.10.2025, the present petition has been filed.

5. The parties are present before this Court in-person today, and have been identified by their respective counsels and Investigating Officer concerned. The parties have endorsed the amicable settlement and accepted the terms thereof voluntarily. Both Petitioners and the Respondent No.2 undertake to abide by the terms of the Settlement dated 09.10.2025. The Complainant is present in person and has stated they had never separated and she is living in her matrimonial home since the day of her marriage. The parties are living happily and hence, no fruitful purpose will be served in continuing with the FIR.

6. The present petition has been signed by all the parties and is supported by their respective affidavits. The parties have reaffirmed the terms of the settlement arrived at vide Compromise Deed dated 09.10.2025 and they also submit that the said Compromise Deed has been arrived at between the parties without any pressure and coercion.

7. The respondent No. 2/wife submits that she has been living in her matrimonial home peacefully after reconciling all the issues with the Petitioners. Today, the complainant/respondent No. 2/wife, who is present in Court, states that she has no objection if the FIR is quashed.

8. In view of the above facts that the parties have amicably resolved their differences out of their own free will and without any coercion and also the fact that the present matter is a family matter, I am of the opinion that no



useful purpose will be served in continuing with the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. Hence, it would be in the interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto.

9. Moreover, there is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

10. Accordingly, FIR bearing No.301/2025 registered at Police Station Saket for the offences punishable under Sections 498A/406/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

11. The petition stands disposed of along with pending Application(s).

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J.

OCTOBER 28, 2025/va