



\$~35

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 3380/2025**

PRAKASH @ KALE

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Deepika, Adv. along with
petitioner in person(Through VC)

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjeev Bhandari, ASC for State
Mr. Chetan, Adv. for R-2 along with R-2 in person
(Through VC)

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY DIGPAUL

ORDER

08.12.2025

%

1. The instant petition under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter "BNSS") [earlier Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (hereinafter "CrPC")] has been filed by the petitioner praying for quashing of FIR no. 337/2025 registered at Police Station Nand Nagri, Delhi for the offence punishable under Section 109(1) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter "BNS").
2. The brief facts of the case are that on 11.06.2025, respondent no. 2, while playing cards with the petitioner, had an altercation and was attacked by the petitioner with a blade during a quarrel, causing serious injuries to his neck and head.



3. It is submitted that the petitioner and respondent no. 2 have settled their disputes amicably and are now moving forward towards a peaceful and harmonious future.
4. Settlement deed dated 06.10.2025 is on record and has been annexed as Annexure-3. Qua this deed, the respondent no. 2 has agreed to withdraw the case arising out of FIR no. 337/2025 registered at Police Station Nand Nagri, Delhi against the petitioner.
5. It is prayed by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties that the instant FIR may be quashed on the basis of the compromise.
6. Learned ASC for the State, who appears on advance notice, accepts notice and submits that there is no opposition to the prayer made by the petitioner seeking quashing of the FIR in question in view of the settlement arrived at between the parties.
7. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.
8. The petitioner is present before this Court and has been identified by his counsel and Investigating Officer, Police Station Nand Nagri, Delhi. Respondent no. 2 is also present in the Court and has been identified by his counsel and the Investigating Officer.
9. On a query made by this Court, respondent no. 2 has categorically stated that he has entered into compromise on his own free will and without any pressure. It is also stated by him that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between the parties.
10. Keeping in view the fact that the matter stands amicably been settled between the petitioner and respondent no. 2 amicably without any pressure, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the matter pending.



11. Hence, in light of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court passed in *Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab* (2012) 10 SCC 303, FIR no. 337/2025 registered at Police Station Nand Nagri, Delhi for the offence punishable under Section 109(1) of the BNS, and consequent proceedings emanating therefrom, are quashed *qua* the present petitioner.

12. The petition along with pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

AJAY DIGPAUL, J

DECEMBER 8, 2025
gs/dd