



\$~60

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 3353/2025**

BHUPENDER DUTT JOSHI

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Laksh Khanna (DHCLSC) with
Ms. Diksha Suri and Ms. Riya Jain,
Advocates

versus

STATE (GNCT OF DELHI)

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Rahul Tyagi, ASC for the State
and Mr. Sangeet Sibou, Advocate and
SI Sheetal

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

% **15.10.2025**

CRL.M.A. 30526/2025 (exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.

W.P.(CRL) 3353/2025

3. By way of the instant petition, the petitioner seeks issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus, for issuance of direction to the respondent to release the petitioner on furlough for a period of three weeks on furnishing personal bond, and/or cash surety.
4. Issue notice. The learned ASC accepts notice on behalf of the State.
5. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner states that the petitioner was granted furlough by the Competent Authority on



08.07.2025, subject to his furnishing personal bond in the sum of ₹10,000/- with one surety of the like amount. The learned counsel submits that pursuant to the said order, the police was directed to verify the address of the surety i.e. his daughter, but the same could not be verified, as the house was under re-construction/renovation. It is argued that the petitioner had thereafter filed an application before the jail authorities for seeking his release on cash surety, but his request was rejected vide order dated 25.08.2025. In this background, it is prayed that the petitioner be allowed to be released on furlough on furnishing cash surety instead of furnishing the surety bond.

6. This Court has **heard** submissions made on behalf of the petitioner and has perused the record.

7. In the present case, the nominal roll of the petitioner reveals that he has been incarcerated for about 10 years and 05 months and he has been granted parole on three occasions and furlough on four occasions. It is also evident that the jail conduct of the petitioner has been reported as satisfactory and he has never misused the liberty of parole or furlough granted to him.

8. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, this Court is inclined to allow the present application. The petitioner is permitted to furnish a cash surety of ₹10,000/- alongwith personal bond in the like amount.

9. It is, however, clarified that the rest of the conditions contained in the order dated 08.07.2025, passed by the Competent Authority shall remain the same.

10. In view of above, the present writ petition stands disposed of.



11. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

OCTOBER 15, 2025/ns