



\$~72

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 15766/2025 & CM APPL. 64620/2025**

SHASHI VERMA ALIAS SHASHI BALA AND ANR.

Through: Ms. Deepali Verma and Mr. Tushar Jaiswal, Advs.
Mob: 9911764458
Email: advocatetusharclassic@gmail.com

.....Petitioners

versus

MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHI AND ORS.

Through: Mr. Ajay Kumar Singh, Adv.
Mob: 9868828036

.....Respondents

CORAM:
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MINI PUSHKARNA

ORDER
% 14.10.2025

CM APPL. 64620/2025 (For Exemption)

1. Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application is disposed of.

W.P.(C) 15766/2025

3. The present writ petition has been filed seeking directions to respondent nos. 1 to 4 to remove the illegal and unlawful construction, being raised by respondent no. 5, over the property, i.e., land area measuring 58 sq. yards, comprising in *Khasra No. 93/2*, situated in the area of *Azadpur*, *Abadi* known as *Mandir Wali Gali*, *House No. 181/4*, *Azadpur*, *North-West*,



Delhi-110033.

4. Responding to the present writ petition, learned counsel appearing for respondent-Municipal Corporation of Delhi (“MCD”), submits that the property in question is an old property and that respondent no. 5 herein has only repaired the roof of the property, which was in a dilapidated condition. The said repairing of the roof as carried out by respondent no. 5, is permissible.

5. Attention of this Court has been drawn to the photographs of the property, which is reproduced as under:





6. This Court further records the statement made by learned counsel appearing for respondent-MCD that the construction is an old one and that no fresh construction, as such, has been carried out, except repair of the roof.

7. This Court is further informed that ownership dispute is going on between the petitioners and respondent no. 5.

8. Considering the submissions made before this Court that the property in question is an old construction and that only roof of the said property, which was in dilapidated condition, has been repaired, no further directions



are required to be passed by this Court.

9. This Court further records the statement made by learned counsel appearing for the MCD that no further construction is going on in the property in question.

10. Accordingly, the present writ petition, along with the pending application, is disposed of.

MINI PUSHKARNA, J

OCTOBER 14, 2025/SK