



\$~84

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CM(M) 1989/2025 & CM APPL. 64577/2025**

JAI NATH YADAV & ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: None.

versus

SOMNATH YADAV @ SHOBHNATH YADAVRespondent

Through: Mr. Himanshu, Advocate.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GIRISH KATHPALIA

ORDER

%

14.10.2025

1. Petitioners/defendants have assailed order dated 09.09.2025 of the learned Commercial Court, whereby application of the petitioners/defendants to summon the plaintiff/respondent into the witness box was dismissed. PDF 49 of the paperbook bears the detailed reasoning behind the impugned order.
2. None appears on behalf of petitioners/defendants.
3. Learned counsel for respondent appearing on advance intimation submits that the present petition has become infructuous because the suit has already been decreed yesterday itself.
4. In the above circumstances, the petition and pending application are dismissed in default.

GIRISH KATHPALIA, J

OCTOBER 14, 2025/ry