



\$~14

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 15580/2024 & CM APPL. 65368/2024, CM APPL. 9252/2025, CM APPL. 41590/2025, CM APPL. 72784/2025

NEHA PANWAR & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Sudarshan Rajan, Mr. Md. Qamar Ali, Ms. Priyanka and Ms. Ria, Advocates.

versus

JAMIA MILLIA ISLAMIA THROUGH ITS REGISTRAR
& ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. K.K. Mishra, ASC with Mr. Parth Dev Chhabra, Advocate for R-1 & R-2/ Jamia Millia Islamia.

Mr. T. Singhdev, Mr. Abhijit Chakravarty and Mr. Tanishq Srivastava, Advocates for R-3/DCI.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JYOTI SINGH

ORDER
23.12.2025

%

1. This writ petition is preferred on behalf of the Petitioners under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking the following reliefs:-

“a. Issue a writ in the nature of mandamus of any other suitable writ directing the Respondents to allow the Petitioners to appear in all the subjects in the supplementary exams of BDS 2nd year to be conducted in October/November 2024;

b. Direct that the Respondents would declare the results of these subjects and in case the Petitioners succeed in clearing the 2nd year exams, allow the Petitioners to attend classes for the 3rd year of the BDS course at the Respondents institute as also to appear in the 3rd year exams of the Institute for BDS.

c. Pass such other further order or orders as this Hon'ble Court may



deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case and in the interest of justice.”

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of Respondents No. 1 and 2/ Jamia Millia Islamia submits that an amicable solution has been found in the matter in the interest of the Petitioners and as a one-time exceptional measure and in this regard hands over an e-mail dated 23.12.2025, wherein it is stated that the Competent Authority of the University has decided to take a lenient view in the matter in accordance with norms and regulations and Ordinances of the University and it is decided that shortage of attendance of the Petitioners in 2nd year will be condoned provided they submit an undertaking that their attendance shall not be short for the remaining duration of the course and eligible Petitioners will complete their clinical postings as per schedule prepared by the faculty, preferably from January, 2026, as also undertake theory classes simultaneously, wherein the former will be conducted in the morning and latter in the evening. It is further stated that results of 3rd year Supplementary Examinations of Petitioners No.1, 3, 5 and 6 will be kept in a sealed cover until further directions by the Division Bench, where LPA. No. 612/2025 is pending. After the Division Bench permits opening of the sealed cover, the results will be declared and if the said Petitioners are successful in the Supplementary Examinations, they will be promoted to 4th year. However, in the event they are unsuccessful, they will be required to take the annual 3rd year examination.

3. Mr. Sudharshan Rajan, learned counsel for the Petitioners, on instructions, submits that Petitioners No. 1, 3, 5 and 6 are agreeable to the proposal given, however, insofar as Petitioners No. 2, 4, 7 and 8 are



concerned, they do not press this writ petition.

4. In light of the amicable resolution of the issues arising in this writ petition between the parties, the proposal submitted by the Respondents is taken on record and accepted. Petitioners will furnish an undertaking to the University to abide by the conditions enumerated in the e-mail dated 23.12.2025. An affidavit of undertaking will also be filed before this Court within three weeks from today with advance copy to counsel for the University. It is made clear that the sealed cover containing the results of Petitioners No. 1, 3, 5 and 6 will be opened only with the permission of the Division Bench and this order will not be construed as having permitted the Respondents to open the sealed cover.

5. At this stage, Mr. Sudarshan Rajan submits that a direction be issued to the University to consider the plea of the Petitioners to adjust the fees paid for 2nd Year in the next year as the same has been paid twice over.

6. It is open to the Petitioners to give representation on this aspect to the University and as and when the representation is received, the same shall be considered by the University, in accordance with law and compassionately.

7. Court appreciates the fair stand taken by the University and its counsel in bringing about an amicable resolution in the matter, which has saved the careers of the Petitioners. Needless to state the stand taken by the University is in the peculiar facts of this case and as a one-time exception and will not be treated as a precedent in any other case.

8. Writ petition is disposed of along with pending applications.

JYOTI SINGH, J

DECEMBER 23, 2025/RW