



\$~63

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ CRL.M.C. 7187/2025 & CRL.M.A. 30182/2025 EXMP.
MD SHAHNAWAZ ALAM AND ORS.Petitioners

Through: Mr. Dheeraj Jain, Adv.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr. Digam Singh Dagar, APP for
State with R-2 in person.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

ORDER
10.10.2025

%

1. Petitioners herein seek quashing of an FIR No. 107/2022 dated 01.02.2022 for the alleged offences under Sections 498A/406/34 of IPC, registered at Police Station Sangam Vihar, along with any consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of a compromise.
2. Dispute arose from the matrimonial discord between petitioner no. 1 (husband) and respondent no.2/ complainant (wife). The couple got married on 09.10.2017 as per Muslim rites and ceremonies and one male child is born from the wedlock.
- 2.1 Petitioner no.2 is the father-in-law and petitioner no.3 is the mother-in-law of respondent no.2.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the parties have now amicably settled their differences *vide* MoU/ Settlement Deed dated 02.08.2025 appended as Annexure P-2. Learned counsel further submits that an affidavit to the effect of no objection to the quashing, duly deposed by



respondent no.2 has also been placed on record as Annexure P-4.

3.1 He further submits that pursuant to the settlement, the couple has resumed their matrimonial ties. Thus, in order to maintain cordial relations and harmony between the parties and to fulfil their responsibilities towards their child, the FIR in question deserve to be quashed as further proceedings would be an exercise in futility.

4. Learned counsel for respondent no.2 and learned APP for the State both concur with the factum of compromise between the parties and convey their no objection to the quashing of the FIR in question.

5. In the aforesaid backdrop, I have heard the learned counsel for the parties, as well as perused the material available on record.

6. The complainant is present in Court and, upon interaction, candidly states that she has resolved all her differences with her husband and has entered into the settlement of her own volition, without any pressure, coercion or undue influence from any quarter. Pursuant to the settlement thereto, she submits that the couple are now enjoying their matrimonial bliss and have resumed cohabitation. The couple and the child are presently residing together peacefully and, thus, she does not wish to press any charges against the petitioners, as no grievance remains unresolved.

7. Having heard, the dispute appears to be a purely family matter with no involvement of public or societal interest. Since the complainant (wife) does not wish to press charges and there is no incriminating evidence against the petitioners, and given the fact that the couple is now enjoying matrimonial bliss after burying the hatchet, continuing with the criminal proceedings would thus be an exercise in futility, amounting to an abuse of the legal process. Furthermore, it would place an unnecessary burden on the



judicial system and lead to the wasteful expenditure of public resources by the prosecution.

8. The trial would thus serve no fruitful purpose and would rather cause undue hardship to the parties who appear to have buried their differences arising from matrimonial discord, defeating the very purpose of the settlement. In view thereof, the proceedings deserve to be quashed in exercise of the inherent powers of the Court. Reference in this context may also be made to judgment rendered in the case of ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr. [(2012) 10 SCC 303]***.

9. Accordingly, the FIR No. 107/2022 dated 01.02.2022 for the alleged offences under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC, registered at Police Station Sangam Vihar, and all other proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed.

10. All pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

ARUN MONGA, J

OCTOBER 10, 2025
acm