



\$~67

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.A. 1408/2025**

VANLALDAILOVA

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. Hirein Sharma, Mr. Aamir Chaudhary, Ms. Sakshi Yadav, Mr. Saurabh Goel, Advocates.

versus

NATIONAL INVESTIGATING AGENCYRespondent

Through: Mr. B. Badrinath, SPP for NIA with Mr. Dhruv Bhardwaj and SI Ishwar Singh.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIMAL KUMAR YADAV

ORDER

% **10.10.2025**

CRL.M.A. 30088/2025

Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

Application stands disposed of.

CRL.A. 1408/2025

1. The present appeal is directed against the Order dated 23.09.2025 passed by Shri Chanderjit Singh, Ld. ASJ, SPL Court, Patiala House Courts, New Delhi in FIR-RC No. 31/2023/NIA/DLI, whereby the Applicant's application seeking interim bail on the ground that the father of the Applicant herein was in a serious condition and, was on mechanical ventilation support, was rejected by the Ld. Trial Court.
2. The fact that the Appellant's father was in a serious medical condition



was not disputed before the Trial Court.

3 However, the Trial Court refused to grant interim bail to the Appellant on the facts and circumstances of the case.

4. Learned Counsel for the Appellant submits that instead of interim bail, custody parole may be granted to the Appellant as his father has passed away.

5. Ordinarily, this would have been treated as a case of fresh bail application, since the grounds on which the Trial Court rejected the bail application does not subsist anymore. However, considering the sensitivities of the matter and the fact that the father of the Appellant has passed away, instead of directing the Appellant to file one more application before the concerned Court, this Court is inclined to grant custody parole to the Appellant for four days, in accordance with the applicable rules and in light of the circumstances present case.

6. Learned Counsel for the State points out that, as per existing rules only the maximum period prescribes under applicable rules for custody parole shall be granted to the Appellant.

7. The appeal is accordingly, disposed of.

SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD, J

VIMAL KUMAR YADAV, J

OCTOBER 10, 2025/ps