



\$~64

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 3287/2025**

MRS. SAROJ & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Manoj Kr. Yadav, Adv.

Petitioners in person.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Amol Sinha, ASC Criminal for
State with Mr. Kshitiz Garg, Mr. Ashvini Kumar,
Mr. Nitish Dhawan, Ms. Chavi Lazarus and
Mr. Manan Wadhwa, Advs.

SI Abhishek, PS Dwarka

Respondent no.2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY DIGPAUL

ORDER

18.11.2025

%

1. The instant petition under Article 226/Article 227 of the Constitution of India read with Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (earlier Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973) has been filed by the petitioners praying for quashing of FIR No. 195/2022 registered at Police Station – Dwarka South, Delhi for the offences punishable under Sections 420/468/471/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereinafter “IPC”).

2. The brief facts of the case are that the respondent no. 2, a founder-director of M/s Virsanshu Engitech Pvt. Ltd., alleges that soon after the company's incorporation in December 2016, petitioners, who were co-directors or spouses of co-directors, hatched a conspiracy to wrongfully take



over the company's management and assets. Acting without her knowledge or consent, they allegedly carried out a series of unauthorized resignations, appointments and share transfers by forging her signatures, manipulated the company's bank accounts, diverted funds, opened new accounts, transferred substantial amounts, misappropriated company property, illegally took control of official mobile numbers and email IDs, removed the company's CA, issued cheques for personal gain, and continued to run the business from behind the scenes. When respondent no. 2 objected, she was allegedly threatened, chased, and assaulted by one of the petitioners.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submitted that the petitioners and respondent no. 2 have settled their disputes amicably and are now moving forward towards a peaceful and harmonious future.

4. Settlement Deed dated 02.08.2025 is on record and has been annexed as "Annexure P-2". In pursuance of the said settlement, the petitioners have agreed to pay an amount of ₹57,00,000/- to respondent no. 2.

5. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submitted that respondent no. 2 has settled all her claims for a total sum of ₹57,00,000/-.

6. It is prayed by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties that the instant FIR may be quashed on the basis of the compromise.

7. Learned ASC for the State, who appears on advance notice, accepts notice and submits that there is no opposition to the prayer made by the petitioners seeking quashing of the FIR in question in view of the settlement arrived at between the parties.

8. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

9. The petitioners are present before this Court and have been identified by their counsel and Investigating Officer, Police Station – Dwarka South,



Delhi. Respondent no. 2 is also present in the Court and has been identified by the counsel and the Investigating Officer.

10. On a query made by this Court, respondent no. 2 has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise on her own free will and without any pressure. It is also stated by her that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between the parties.

11. Keeping in view the fact that the matter stands amicably settled between the petitioners and respondent no. 2 without any pressure, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the matter pending.

12. In view of the fact that the parties have arrived at a settlement, no useful purpose will be served in continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them.

13. It is, thus, in the interest of justice to quash the afore-mentioned FIR and the proceedings emanating therefrom.

14. In the present case, the State machinery has been put into motion and the judicial time has also been wasted, it is deemed appropriate to impose cost upon the petitioners as well as respondent no. 2. In the facts and circumstances of the present case, the petitioners are directed to deposit a cost of ₹25,000/- with the Delhi High Court Staff Welfare Fund [A/C 15530110074442; IFSC UCBA0001553] within a period of two weeks from today. The respondent no. 2 is also directed to deposit a cost of ₹25,000/- with the Delhi High Court Staff Welfare Fund [A/C 15530110074442; IFSC UCBA0001553] within a period of two weeks from today.

15. Hence, in light of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court passed in *Gian Singh v. State of Punjab (2012) 10 SCC 303*, FIR No. 195/2022 registered at Police Station – Dwarka South, Delhi for the offences



punishable under Sections 420/468/471/34 of the IPC, and consequent proceedings emanating therefrom, are quashed qua the present petitioners, subject to payment of cost of ₹25,000/- to the Delhi High Court Staff Welfare Fund payable by the petitioners and cost of ₹25,000/- to the Delhi High Court Staff Welfare Fund payable by the respondent no. 2 within a period of two weeks from today. The receipt of payment is to be deposited and verified by the concerned IO.

16. The petition alongwith pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

AJAY DIGPAUL, J

NOVEMBER 18, 2025/AS/yr