



\$~19&20

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 15341/2025 and CM APPL.62822/2025

(19) ROADSTAR INFRA INVESTMENT TRUST ACTING THROUGH  
ITS INVESTMENT MANAGER ROADSTAR INVESTMENT  
MANAGERS LIMITED .....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rajeev Nayar (Sr. Adv) along  
with Mr. Raunak Dhillon, Ms. Isha  
Malik, Mr. Nihaad Dewan, Ms.  
Niharika Shukla, Ms. Angela Dua,  
Ms. Anusha Sarkar, Adv.

versus

NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AUTHORITY OF INDIA & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Vikram Jetly (CGSC) along with  
Ms. Shreya Jetly, Adv. and Mr. Rudra  
Paliwal (GP)  
Mr. Santosh Kumar (SC) along with  
Mr. Devansh Malhotra, Mr. Ritik  
Dwivedi, Adv. for R1  
Mr. Rudra Paliwal GP for R2

+ W.P.(C) 15342/2025 and CM APPL.62825/2025

(20) HIGHWAY OPERATORS ASSOCIATION (I) .....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Santosh Kumar (SC) along with  
Mr. Devansh Malhotra, Mr. Ritik  
Dwivedi, Adv. for R1  
Mr. Gopal Subramaniam (Sr. Adv)  
along with Mr. Ruank Dhillon, Ms.  
Manmeet singh, Mr. Uday Khare, Mr.  
Jayavardhan Singh, Ms. Gauri  
Subramaniam, Mr. Raghav Kohli,  
Mr. Adhan Yousuf, Mr. J.S. George,  
Ms. Isha Malik, Mr. Nihaad Dawan,  
Mr. Niharika Shukla, Ms. Angela  
Dua, Ms. Anusha Sarkar, Adv.

versus

NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AUTHORITY OF INDIA & ANR.

.....Respondents



Through: Mr. Balendu Shekhar (CGSC) along with Mr. Krishna Chaitanya, Mr. Rajkumar Maurya, Mr. Divyansh Singh Dev, Ms. Tanisha Samanta, Advs.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SACHIN DATTA**

**ORDER**

**17.10.2025**

%

1. After some hearing, with the consent of respective counsel for the parties, following directions are issued:-

(i) The impugned policy/ circular dated 13.09.2025 shall be kept in abeyance and the matter shall be re-examined by the respondents after considering the representations of the petitioner herein and other stake holders..

(ii) The respondents shall fix timelines to enable the stakeholders to submit their representations. All the stakeholders shall adhere to the said timeline.

(iii) Thereafter a common hearing be scheduled by the respondents during which a brief opportunity shall be given to the stakeholders to make their submissions. A reasoned order shall be passed thereafter after taking into account all relevant aspects of the matter.

2. Needless to say, the above shall be subject to the legal rights and remedies of the petitioner/ stake holders, if they are dissatisfied with the outcome of the aforesaid exercise.

3. It is made clear that the above directions shall not be construed as an expression of this Court on the merits of the controversy.

4. The petitions are disposed of in the above terms. Pending applications



also stand disposed of.

**SACHIN DATTA, J**

**OCTOBER 17, 2025/uk**