



\$~19&20

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 15341/2025 and CM APPL.62822/2025

(19) ROADSTAR INFRA INVESTMENT TRUST ACTING THROUGH
ITS INVESTMENT MANAGER ROADSTAR INVESTMENT
MANAGERS LIMITEDPetitioner

Through: Mr. Rajeev Nayar (Sr. Adv) along
with Mr. Raunak Dhillon, Ms. Isha
Malik, Mr. Nihaad Dewan, Ms.
Niharika Shukla, Ms. Angela Dua,
Ms. Anusha Sarkar, Adv.

versus

NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AUTHORITY OF INDIA & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Vikram Jetly (CGSC) along with
Ms. Shreya Jetly, Adv. and Mr. Rudra
Paliwal (GP)
Mr. Santosh Kumar (SC) along with
Mr. Devansh Malhotra, Mr. Ritik
Dwivedi, Adv. for R1
Mr. Rudra Paliwal GP for R2

+ W.P.(C) 15342/2025 and CM APPL.62825/2025

(20) HIGHWAY OPERATORS ASSOCIATION (I)Petitioner

Through: Mr. Santosh Kumar (SC) along with
Mr. Devansh Malhotra, Mr. Ritik
Dwivedi, Adv. for R1
Mr. Gopal Subramaniam (Sr. Adv)
along with Mr. Ruank Dhillon, Ms.
Manmeet singh, Mr. Uday Khare, Mr.
Jayavardhan Singh, Ms. Gauri
Subramaniam, Mr. Raghav Kohli,
Mr. Adhan Yousuf, Mr. J.S. George,
Ms. Isha Malik, Mr. Nihaad Dawan,
Mr. Niharika Shukla, Ms. Angela
Dua, Ms. Anusha Sarkar, Adv.

versus

NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AUTHORITY OF INDIA & ANR.

.....Respondents



Through: Mr. Balendu Shekhar (CGSC) along with Mr. Krishna Chaitanya, Mr. Rajkumar Maurya, Mr. Divyansh Singh Dev, Ms. Tanisha Samanta, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SACHIN DATTA

ORDER

17.10.2025

%

1. After some hearing, with the consent of respective counsel for the parties, following directions are issued:-

(i) The impugned policy/ circular dated 13.09.2025 shall be kept in abeyance and the matter shall be re-examined by the respondents after considering the representations of the petitioner herein and other stake holders..

(ii) The respondents shall fix timelines to enable the stakeholders to submit their representations. All the stakeholders shall adhere to the said timeline.

(iii) Thereafter a common hearing be scheduled by the respondents during which a brief opportunity shall be given to the stakeholders to make their submissions. A reasoned order shall be passed thereafter after taking into account all relevant aspects of the matter.

2. Needless to say, the above shall be subject to the legal rights and remedies of the petitioner/ stake holders, if they are dissatisfied with the outcome of the aforesaid exercise.

3. It is made clear that the above directions shall not be construed as an expression of this Court on the merits of the controversy.

4. The petitions are disposed of in the above terms. Pending applications



also stand disposed of.

SACHIN DATTA, J

OCTOBER 17, 2025/uk