



\$~76

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.M.C. 6865/2025**
BHARAT KUMAR & ORS.

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms Savita, Advocate for P-1 to P-5.
versus

THE STATE (THE GOVT. OF N.C.T.OF DELHI) & ANR.

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Shoaib Haider, APP for State
with SI Braham Parkash PS Sultan
Puri.
Respondent No.2/Complainant in
person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

% **24.09.2025**

CRL.M.A. 28845/2025 (Seeking Exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to just exceptions.
2. The Application stands disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 6865/2025

3. A Petition under Section 528 of Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 has been filed on behalf of the Petitioner seeking Quashing of FIR No.515/2022 dated 30.05.2022 under Section 498A/406/34 IPC registered at Police Station Sultan Puri, Delhi.
4. Brief facts of the case are that the marriage was solemnized between petitioner No. 1 and respondent No. 2 on 30.01.2020 according to Hindu rites and ceremonies. It is stated that no child was born out of the said wedlock.



5. It is further submitted that due to differences and grievance between the parties, the Respondent made a Complaint against the Petitioners on which FIR bearing No. 515/2022 under Sections 498A/406/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 got registered at Police Station Sultan Puri, Delhi.

6. It is stated that with the intervention of the family and friends, the parties entered into a Settlement vide Memorandum of Understanding dated 09.07.2025, and it was *inter alia* settled between the parties that the respondent No. 2/wife and the petitioner No. 1/husband shall dissolve their marriage by decree of mutual consent. It is stated that petitioner No. 1/husband shall pay a sum of Rs. 3,00,000/- to the respondent No. 2/wife towards full and final amount of all the claims of the respondent No. 2/wife. It is also stated that the petitioner No. 1 has already paid the agreed amount of Rs.3,00,000/- to the Respondent No.2, which is confirmed by Respondent No.2.

7. It is also stated that on 09.07.2025, the marriage between petitioner No. 1 and respondent No. 2 had been dissolved as per Hindu law and in view of the MOU dated 24.03.2025, the present petition has been filed.

8. The parties are present before this Court in-person today, and have been identified by their counsel and Investigating Officer concerned. The parties have endorsed the amicable settlement and accepted the terms thereof voluntarily.

9. The parties have submitted that all the disputes have been amicably settled *vide* MOU dated 24.03.2025 and thus, no fruitful purpose will be served in continuing with the FIR.



10. The present petition has been signed by all the parties and is supported by their respective affidavits. The parties have reaffirmed the terms of the settlement arrived at *vide* MOU dated 24.03.2025 and they also submit that the said Compromise Deed has been arrived at between the parties without any pressure and coercion.

11. Today, the complainant/respondent No. 2/wife, who is present in Court, states that she has received all amounts due to her and has no objection if the FIR is quashed.

12. In view of the above facts that the parties have amicably resolved their differences out of their own free will and without any coercion and also the fact that the present matter is a family matter, I am of the opinion that no useful purpose will be served in continuing with the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. Hence, it would be in the interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto.

13. Moreover, there is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

14. Accordingly, FIR bearing No. 515/2022 registered at Police Station Sultan Puri, for offences punishable under Sections 498A/406/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

15. The petition stands disposed of.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

SEPTEMBER 24, 2025/va