



\$~16

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CONT.CAS(C) 1666/2024 & CM APPL. 62194/2024**
ACCIPITER INVESTMENTS AIRCRAFT 2 LIMITEDPetitioner
Through: Mr. Ankur Mahindro, Mr. Rohan
Taneja, Mr. Aditya Kapur, Advocates.

versus

GO AIRLINES (INDIA) LTD AND ANRRespondents
Through: Mr. Diwakar Maheshwari,
Mr. Shreyas Edupuganti,
Advocates for respondent No.1.
Ms. Anjana Gosain, Advocate for
respondent No.2 and Mr. Ankur
Yadav GP.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

ORDER
29.01.2025

%

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner has, at the very outset, submitted that, broadly speaking, whatever was to be done by the respondents has been done. He, therefore, does not press the present contempt petition at the moment. However, he seeks liberty to revive the same, in case, eventually, it is learnt that something is yet not done by the respondents,

2. In view of the above, the petition is disposed of as not pressed. Liberty, as prayed, is granted.

MANOJ JAIN, J

JANUARY 29, 2025/st