



\$~40, 41

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 6835/2025, CRL.M.A. 28759/2025

STATE

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Aman Usman, APP for State.

versus

ANURADHA ALIAS CHIKU

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Kundan Kumar, Advocate.

+ CRL.M.C. 6836/2025, CRL.M.A. 28761/2025

STATE

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Aman Usman, APP for State.

versus

DEEPA SINGH

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Kundan Kumar, Advocate.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV NARULA

ORDER

04.11.2025

%

1. The reliefs sought in both the petitions are identical but for the sake of convenience, they are reproduced as under:

CRL.M.C. 6835/2025	CRL.M.C. 6836/2025
A. Set aside/quash the impugned orders dated 12.09.2025 and 19.09.2025 passed by the Ld. ASJ-II/Special Judge (NDPS), North- West, Rohini Courts, Delhi in FIR No. 186/2025 PS Sultanpuri;	A. Set aside/quash the impugned orders dated 12.09.2025 and 19.09.2025 passed by the Ld. ASJ-II/Special Judge (NDPS), North- West, Rohini Courts, Delhi in FIR No. 186/2025 PS Sultanpuri;
B. Direct the Special Judge NDPS to transfer the proceedings qua the FIR No. 186/2025, U/s 21/22/25/29 NDPS Act/ 238 BNS, 18C Drugs and Cosmetic Act, and section 3 and 4 MCOCA, PS Sultanpuri, to the designated	B. Direct the Special Judge NDPS to transfer the proceedings qua the FIR No. 186/2025, U/s 21/22/25/29 NDPS Act/ 238 BNS, 18C Drugs and Cosmetic Act, and section 3 and 4 MCOCA, PS Sultanpuri, to



court under MCOCA, North West District, Rohini Court; C. Direct the designated Court MCOCA to decide the application of police custody, afresh without prejudice to the orders passed by the Ld. Special Judge NDPS/ NW; D. Pass any other order as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case.	the designated court under MCOCA, North West District, Rohini Court; C. Direct the designated Court MCOCA to decide the application of police custody, afresh without prejudice to the orders passed by the Ld. Special Judge NDPS/ NW; D. Pass any other order as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case.
--	---

2. Counsel for the Respondent, on instructions, states that prayer 'A' has been rendered infructuous as the second bail applications have been rejected by orders dated 31st October, 2025 passed by ASJ-03, North-West, Rohini Courts, Delhi. Accordingly, he has no objection to the said prayer being allowed.
3. Having regard to the facts narrated in the petitions and the submissions made by the counsel for the Respondents, prayer 'A' is allowed and the orders dated 12th September, 2025 and 19th September, 2025 passed by ASJ-II/Special Judge (NDPS), North-West, Rohini Courts, Delhi, in FIR No. 186/2025 registered at P.S. Sultanpuri, are hereby set aside.
4. Mr. Aman Usman, APP for State, submits that he is not pressing prayer 'B', as the designated MCOCA Court has already exercised jurisdiction with respect to the proposals and offences added during the course of investigation. Accordingly, prayer 'B' is not pressed.
5. Likewise, he states that prayer 'C' has also been rendered infructuous since the request for police custody has already been considered and granted by the MCOCA Court.
6. In light of the above development, no further directions are required to be passed. Needless to state, as the impugned orders have been set aside, any observations contained therein shall not be treated as binding.



7. Accordingly, the petitions are disposed of along with pending applications.

SANJEEV NARULA, J

NOVEMBER 4, 2025

nk