



\$~64

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 3659/2025 and CRL.M.A. 28674/2025**

AMIT SINGH CHAUHAN @ BINDUPetitioner

Through: **Mr. Aditya Aggarwal, Advocate.**

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHIRespondent

Through: **Ms. Richa Dhawan, APP for the State
with Inspector Sunil and SI Prashant
Malik, PS – Laxmi Nagar.**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

ORDER

23.09.2025

%

1. Applicant herein seeks regular bail in case FIR No. 2735/2015 dated 25.11.2015 for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 302 and 34 of IPC, registered at Police Station Shakarpur. Per chargesheet the applicant/accused is an under trial for offences punishable under Sections 302, 34 of IPC and Sections 25, 27 of the Arms Act.
2. The last bail of the applicant was dismissed by a Co-ordinate bench of this Court *vide* order dated 16.11.2023, observing, *inter alia*, that the facts reveal that the applicant was very much present at the scene of the crime and had a specific role to play in the commission of the crime as per the FIR. Furthermore, even though the applicant is not named in the FIR but his presence is captured in the CCTV footage around the time and in the vicinity of the scene of crime and the same cannot be doubted at this stage.
3. Heard and perused the case file.



4. At the outset, I second the views of the co-ordinate bench of this Court, though, of course those observations are limited for the purpose of bail only.

5. Moreover, learned APP for the State points out that the trial is over and the matter is now fixed for final arguments before the Trial Court on 24.09.2025, i.e. tomorrow.

6. In view thereof, I am of the opinion that either way it is not a case of grant of bail, since, if it is a case of conviction then presence of the applicant is required before the Trial Court at the time of final hearing. If otherwise, he would be set free in case any acquittal order is passed in his favour.

7. At this stage, I see no grounds to interfere. The bail application is, accordingly, dismissed.

8. In the parting, I may hasten to add here that it transpires that the matter has been kept for final hearing by learned Trial Court even on earlier occasions also. Adjournments are being granted at the instance of one party or the other. It is made clear that the learned Trial Court shall not grant any further adjournment at the instance of either party. However, depending upon its workload as per the daily cause list, it shall be at liberty to hear the matter by granting adjournment, if so warranted.

ARUN MONGA, J

SEPTEMBER 23, 2025

kd