



\$~44

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ MAT.APP.(F.C.) 345/2024, CM APPL. 61930/2024, CM APPL. 61932/2024 & CM APPL. 61933/2024

SANJANA @ SHAMAAppellant
Through: Mr. Saurabh Kansal, Adv. with
Appellant in person

versus

OM PRAKASH & ANR.Respondents
Through: Mr. Arvind Chaudhary & Mr.
Ankit Chaudhary, Advs. for R-
1

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH VAIDYANATHAN
SHANKAR

ORDER
03.09.2025

%

1. Through the present Appeal filed under Section 19 of the Family Courts Act, 1984, the Appellant seeks to assail judgment dated 29.04.2024, passed by the learned Family Court in CS No. 85/2022.
2. The Appellant herein is the daughter-in-law of Respondent No.1.
3. Pursuant to the Decree, passed in favour of Respondent No. 1, the possession has already been handed over by the Appellant to Respondent No. 1.
4. Learned counsel representing Respondent No. 1 submits that he has instructions not to press for recovery of the amount of *mesne* profit.
5. In view of the aforesaid statement, the decree for recovery of *mesne* profit, for use and occupation of the premises, is set aside.



6. In view of the aforesaid statement, the Appeal is disposed of.
The pending applications stand closed.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.

HARISH VAIDYANATHAN SHANKAR, J.
SEPTEMBER 03, 2025/sp/sh