



\$~1 to 4

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ BAIL APPLN. 3818/2024 & CRL.M.(BAIL) 1739/2024

SURESH NEGI

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Utkarsh Bhushan, Advocate

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: SI Ravi Yadav, P.S. Lapat Nagar

2

+ BAIL APPLN. 3822/2024 & CRL.M.(BAIL) 1740/2024

ASHWANI BAHUGUNA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Utkarsh Bhushan, Advocate

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: SI Ravi Yadav, P.S. Lapat Nagar

3

+ BAIL APPLN. 3824/2024 & CRL.M.(BAIL) 1744/2024

RAM KISHORE BAHUGUNA,

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Utkarsh Bhushan, Advocate

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: SI Ravi Yadav, P.S. Lapat Nagar

4

+ BAIL APPLN. 3826/2024 & CRL.M.(BAIL) 1745/2024

PREM SINGH PAYAL,

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Utkarsh Bhushan, Advocate



versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: SI Ravi Yadav, P.S. Lapat Nagar

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MANMEET PRITAM SINGH ARORA

ORDER

06.02.2025

%

1. Learned counsel for the Petitioners states that the Petitioners herein have entered into a settlement agreement dated 24.01.2025 with the complainant i.e., Respondent No. 2.
2. He states that a quashing petition with respect to FIR No. 0338/2024, dated 09.09.2024, under Sections 318(4)/336(2)/338/340/3(5)/61(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, registered at P.S. Lajpat Nagar, South-East; already been filed and the next date of hearing in the said quashing petition is 14.05.2025. He states that this settlement agreement will also be placed before the Court in the said petition.
3. In view of the aforesaid facts, learned counsel for the Petitioner states that the petition seeks an adjournment. The Petitioners are directed to place the settlement agreement on record within two (2) weeks.
4. Learned APP states on instructions from the Investigating Officer (I.O.) that the complainant has informed the I.O. about the settlement between the parties. He states that in these changed facts there is no imminent threat of arrest to the Petitioners.
5. In view of the aforesaid submissions and the settlement agreement, the petitions along with all pending applications are disposed of, with the



liberty to the Petitioner to revive them, if so required, in case there is any apprehension of arrest in the subject FIR.

MANMEET PRITAM SINGH ARORA, J
FEBRUARY 6, 2025/msh/AKT
Click here to check corrigendum, if any