



\$~23

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CS(COMM) 898/2024 with I.A. 42196/2024, I.A. 42202/2024**
MR PIRUZ KHAMBATTA & ANR.Plaintiffs

Through: Mr Saif Khan, Mr Shobhit Agrawal,
and Ms. Meghana Kudligi,
Advocates.

versus

M/S RASNAS FOOD PRODUCTS & ORS.Defendants
Through: Mr. Abdulla Naseeh, Advocate for
D-1.
Mr. Mrinal Ojha, Mr. Debarshi Dutta,
Ms. Nikita Rath and Mr. Arjun
Mookherjee, Advocates for D-3.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER
21.02.2025

%

1. Counsel for the defendant no.1 submits that he has no objection if a decree of permanent injunction is passed in favour of the plaintiffs.
2. Since there is no contest to the suit, the suit is decreed in favour of the plaintiff in terms of prayer Clauses 59 (i), (ii), (iii) and (iv) of the plaint. Insofar as the relief of delivery-up sought in prayer clause (ix) is concerned, the defendant no.1 is directed to hand over the infringing materials seized by the Local Commissioner to the plaintiffs' representatives.
3. The plaintiff does not press for the remaining reliefs sought in the plaint *qua* the defendant no.1.
4. Decree sheet be drawn up accordingly.
5. In view of the fact that the suit has been decreed at an initial stage, the Registry is directed to issue a certificate of refund of 100% of the Court Fees



in favour of the plaintiffs, in terms of Section 16 of the Court Fees Act, 1870.

6. All pending applications stand disposed of.

AMIT BANSAL, J

FEBRUARY 21, 2025

Vivek/-