



\$~117

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 3066/2025**

MOHAN LAL JINDAL

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Shanul Kadian, Adv. (through VC)

Petitioner in person (through VC)

versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI) & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Yasir Rauf Ansari, ASC
(Criminal) for State.

SI Randeep, PS North Rohini

Respondent no.2 in person (through VC)

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY DIGPAUL

ORDER

16.10.2025

%

1. The instant petition under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter "BNSS") [earlier Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (hereinafter "CrPC")] has been filed by the petitioner praying for quashing of FIR No.506/2020 registered at Police Station – North Rohini for the offences punishable under Sections 420 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereinafter "IPC").

2. The brief facts of the case are that the respondent no. 2 and the petitioner, were on cordial terms for several years. In August 2016, petitioner offered to sell his property at Pitampura to the complainant for ₹34,00,000/-, and an Agreement to Sell was executed on 12.08.2016, with ₹28,00,000/- paid in advance. Despite repeated assurances, petitioner failed



to execute the Sale Deed and kept seeking extensions. Finally, on 25.06.2019, the parties cancelled the Agreement to Sell, and petitioner issued three post-dated cheques totalling ₹34,00,000/- towards refund, all of which were dishonoured upon presentation, which led to lodging of the present FIR.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that the petitioner and respondent no.2 have settled their disputes amicably and are now moving forward towards a peaceful and harmonious future.

4. Memorandum of Understanding (hereinafter “MoU”) dated 18.07.2025 is on record and has been annexed as “Annexure B”. *Qua* this MoU, the respondent no. 2 has agreed to withdraw the case arising out of FIR No. 506/2020 registered at Police Station – North Rohini against the petitioner. As per the MoU, the matter has been settled between the petitioner and respondent no. 2 for a sum of ₹18,00,000/-.

5. It is prayed by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties that the instant FIR may be quashed on the basis of the MoU.

6. Learned APP for the State, who appears on advance notice, accepts notice and submits that there is no opposition to the prayer made by the petitioner seeking quashing of the FIR in question in view of the settlement arrived at between the parties.

7. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

8. The petitioner is virtually present before this Court and has been identified by his counsel and Investigating Officer, Police Station – North Rohini. Respondent no.2 is also virtually present in the Court and has been identified by her counsel and the Investigating Officer.



9. On a query made by this Court, respondent no. 2 has categorically stated that he has entered into compromise on his own free will and without any pressure. It is also stated by him that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between the parties. Respondent no. 2 also submits that he has received the entire settled amount.

10. Keeping in view the fact that the matter stands settled between the petitioner and respondent no. 2 amicably without any pressure, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the matter pending.

11. In view of the fact that the parties have arrived at a settlement, no useful purpose will be served in continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them.

12. It is, thus, in the interest of justice to quash the afore-mentioned FIR and the proceedings emanating therefrom.

13. In the present case, the State machinery has been put into motion and further the judicial time has also been wasted, it is deemed appropriate to impose cost on the respondent. In the facts and circumstances of the present case, the respondent no.2 is directed to deposit a cost of ₹25,000/- with the Delhi Police Welfare Society within a period of two weeks from today.

14. Hence, in light of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court passed in ***Gian Singh v. State of Punjab* (2012) 10 SCC 303**, FIR No. 506/2020 registered at Police Station – North Rohini, for offences punishable under Sections 420 of the IPC, and consequent proceedings emanating therefrom, are quashed *qua* the present petitioner, subject to the respondent no.2 making the payment of cost of ₹25,000/-, which shall be deposited with the Delhi Police Welfare Society within a period of two weeks from today. The receipt of payment is to be deposited and verified by the concerned IO.



15. The petition alongwith pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

AJAY DIGPAUL, J

OCTOBER 16, 2025/AS/yr