



\$~45

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 6716/2025, CRL.M.A. 28259/2025 & CRL.M.A.
28260/2025

SOURABH GUPTA & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Murari Tiwari, Mr. Anikesh
Tiwari and Mr. Rahul Kumar, Adv.
Petitioners in person.

versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI) & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Satish Kumar, APP for State
SI Kiran Dayal, PS Moti Nagar
Ms. Payal Dhupar, Adv. for R-2 with R-2 in
person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY DIGPAUL

ORDER

% **19.09.2025**

1. The instant petition under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter "BNSS") [earlier Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (hereinafter "CrPC")] has been filed on behalf of the petitioners praying for the quashing of FIR bearing no.0079/2021, registered at Police Station – Moti Nagar, for offences punishable under Sections 498A/376/324/506/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereinafter "IPC").
2. The brief facts concerning the present dispute are that the marriage between petitioner no.1 and respondent no.2 was solemnized on 20.05.2010, according to Hindu rites and ceremonies. However, due to some



temperamental differences between them, shortly after their marriage, they started living separately.

3. Despite efforts of reconciliation, both the parties could not settle their differences, pursuant to which respondent no.2 got FIR no.0079/2021 registered.

4. However, at this stage, with the intervention of family members and relatives, the parties have now entered into a settlement and respondent no.2 does not wish to pursue her complaint/case against the petitioners as respondent no. 2 is now residing with petitioner no.1 since January 2025. Accordingly, respondent no.2 has also furnished a No-objection affidavit *qua* quashing of the present FIR which is annexed as “Annexure D” to the petition.

5. It is, thus, prayed that the instant FIR be quashed on the basis of No-objection affidavit.

6. Heard. Issue notice.

7. Learned APP for the State, who appears on advance notice, accepts notice and submits that there is no opposition to the prayer made on behalf of the petitioners seeking quashing of the FIR in question in view of the settlement arrived at between the parties.

8. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

9. The instant criminal proceedings concern non-compoundable offences that are private in nature and do not have a serious impact on society, especially considering that there is a settlement/compromise between the victim and the accused.

10. In such cases, it is settled law that High Court is also required to consider the conduct and antecedents of the accused in order to ascertain



that the settlement has been entered into by her own free will and has not been imposed upon her by the petitioners or any person related to them.

11. In the case of State of ***Madhya Pradesh vs. Laxmi Narayan and Ors.***, (2019) 5 SCC 688, the Hon'ble Supreme Court held that the powers conferred under Section 482 of the CrPC/Section 528 of the BNSS, can be exercised by the Courts to quash criminal proceedings featuring non-compoundable offences, when the matter arises out of matrimonial or family disputes. Moreover, it is pertinent to satisfy the Court that the said non-compoundable offences are private in nature and do not have a serious impact on society.

12. Furthermore, it was observed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Ramgopal and Ors. vs. The State of Madhya Pradesh***, 2021 INSC 568, that the extraordinary power enjoined upon the High Courts under Section 482 of the CrPC/Section 528 of the BNSS can be invoked even when such a case falls within the ambit of non-compoundable offences, given that the Court is satisfied that the nature of the offence does not impact the conscience of society, and that the compromise between the parties is voluntary and amicable.

13. The petitioners are present before this Court and have been identified by their counsel and the Investigating Officer, Police Station – Moti Nagar. Respondent no. 2 is also present in the Court and has been identified by her counsel and the concerned Investigating Officer.

14. In the present case, the complainant/respondent no. 2 is present in Court and has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise and settled the entirety of disputes with the petitioners amicably and of her own free will, without any pressure or coercion. There is also no allegation from



respondent no.2 that the conduct and antecedents of petitioners have been bad towards her after entering into this compromise. Further, she submits that she has no objection to the present FIR being quashed as she is now residing together with her husband and she does not wish to pursue the case any further. The complainant has also made submissions to the effect that the accused persons are her close relatives in her matrimonial house. As she is now residing with her husband, both parties, at this stage, desire to focus on their matrimonial life and well being of their children. It is submitted that pursuing the instant FIR would lead to disturbance in their married life and it is prayed that the FIR may be quashed.

15. Therefore, in view of the settlement arrived at between the parties and the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, the present petition is allowed. Accordingly, FIR no.0079/2021, registered at Police Station – Moti Nagar for offences punishable under Sections 498A/376/324/506/34 of the IPC, and all the consequential proceedings emanating therefrom stand quashed *qua* the present petitioners.

16. The petition, along-with pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

AJAY DIGPAUL, J

SEPTEMBER 19, 2025/ar/ryp