



\$~138

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 14586/2025**

RENU MIDHA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Prince Bansal, Advocate with
Petitioner in person.

versus

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY & ANR.Respondents

Through: Ms. Shobhana Takiar, Standing
Counsel for DDA with Mr. Shivam Takiar, Mr.
Prateek Dhir and Mr. Kuljeet Singh, Advocates for
R-1.

Mr. Anuvrat Sharma and Ms. Alka Sinha,
Advocates for R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JYOTI SINGH

ORDER

%

05.12.2025

1. This writ petition is filed on behalf of the Petitioner under Article 226
of the Constitution of India seeking the following reliefs:-

*“i. Pass an appropriate direction by way of writ in Mandamus or any
other appropriate writ/order/direction of like nature directing the
Respondent No. 1 to inquire and take immediate legal and
administrative action against illegal subletting of the leasehold premises
bearing No. B-52, Okhla Industrial Area, Phase-1, New Delhi-110020.*

*ii. Direct the DDA to take steps for eviction of unauthorized occupants
and recovery of misuse charges from Respondent No. 2 and penalty be
imposed on respondent no. 2;*

*iii. Direct DDA to consider the Petitioner's complaints and initiate
proceedings for cancellation/forfeiture of lease deed in accordance with
law.*

*iv. Pass any other Order(s) or direction (s) which this Hon'ble Court
may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case may*



kindly be passed in favour of the Petitioner in the interest of justice and good conscience.”

2. Plain reading of the writ petition as also the reliefs claimed show that limited prayer is for a direction to DDA to cancel the lease of the premises bearing B-52, Okhla Industrial Area, Phase-1, New Delhi. Ms. Shobhana Takiar, learned Standing Counsel for DDA appearing on advance copy of the writ petition submits and rightly so, that Petitioner is not a lessee in the lease deed in question and has no locus to file the present writ petition for a direction to DDA. In case Petitioner has any dispute with Respondent No. 2, the legal heir of Smt. Varsha Rani, the remedy lies before the Civil Court.
3. Accordingly, this writ petition is dismissed with liberty to the Petitioner to take recourse to remedies before the appropriate forum.

JYOTI SINGH, J

DECEMBER 5, 2025/RW