



\$~24

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(C) 14527/2025**
UNION OF INDIA THROUGH GENERAL MANAGER
NORTHERN RAILWAY & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr.Shalinder Saini, Ms.Rashmi
Malhotra, Advs.

versus

SHIV NARAYAN SHARMA

.....Respondent

Through: Mr.S K Gupta, Mr.Udit Gupta
and Mrs.Shailender Singh,
Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN CHAWLA

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MADHU JAIN

ORDER

% **19.09.2025**

CAV 363/2025

1. As the learned counsel for the respondent has entered appearance and has been heard, the caveat stands discharged.

CM APPL. 59638/2025 (Exemption)

2. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

W.P.(C) 14527/2025 & CM APPL. 59637/2025

3. This petition has been filed, challenging the Order dated 10.04.2024 passed by the learned Central Administrative Tribunal, Principal Bench, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the 'Tribunal') in O.A. No.938/2017, titled ***Shiv Narayan Sharma v. Union of India & Ors.***, whereby the O.A. filed by the respondent herein was disposed



of with the following directions:

“4.2 We are in complete agreement with the counsel for the applicant, in so far as the direction as contained in the aforesaid OA, more particularly in para 15 where it is categorically mentioned to grant ‘all consequential benefits’, no clarity is forthcoming by way of the said short affidavit filed by the respondents.

4.3 In view of the same we dispose of the present OA by directing the respondents to take steps for grant of all consequential benefits as contemplated in the earlier round of litigation in terms of para 15 w.e.f. 09.07.2008 i.e. the date from which his immediate junior was granted such benefits. The said direction be complied with within the period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order, failing which the applicant shall also be entitled to pay interest @ applicable GPF rates from the expiry of stipulated time.

4.4 The OA is disposed of in the above manner. No order as to costs.”

4. By the Impugned Order, the learned Tribunal has merely directed full compliance with its earlier Order dated 06.07.2015 passed in the O.A. No.3187/2013, which had already been affirmed by this Court *vide* Judgment dated 30.11.2015 passed in the W.P.(C) 10982/2015, titled ***Union of India & Ors. v. Shiv Narayan Sharma***.

5. At the outset, we note that though the Impugned Order was passed on 10.04.2024, the present petition has been filed by the petitioners only on 14.08.2025, that is, after a delay of more than one year. No explanation has been furnished for this delay in approaching this Court. Therefore, on the grounds of delay and laches alone, we decline to entertain the present petition.



6. The petition is, accordingly, dismissed. The pending application is also disposed of as infructuous.

NAVIN CHAWLA, J

MADHU JAIN, J

SEPTEMBER 19, 2025/Arya/DG