



\$~66

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 14514/2025**

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr.Shashank Dixit, CGSC,
Mr.Kunal Raj, Ms.Charu
Khandelwal, Advs.

versus

SATISH KUMAR-III & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Nemo

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN CHAWLA

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MADHU JAIN

ORDER

%

18.09.2025

CM APPL. 59478/2025 (Exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

W.P.(C) 14514/2025 & CM APPL. 59477/2025

2. This petition has been filed by the petitioners, challenging the Order dated 16.12.2024, passed by the learned Central Administrative Tribunal, Principal Bench, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the 'Tribunal') in O.A. No.2569/2019, titled **Satish Kumar-III & Ors. v. Union of India & Ors.**, whereby the learned Tribunal allowed the said O.A. filed by the respondents herein and issued the following directions:

"14. In view of the aforesaid and for parity, the p resent OAs are allowed with following orders:-

(i) The impugned orders dated 23.05.2019 (in OA No. 2569/ 2019) and 18.0 6.2019 read



with order dated 15.06.2012 (in OA No. 2634/2019 are quashed and set aside.

(ii) The respondents are directed to extend the benefit of the judgment of Hon 'ble High Court for State of Telangana at Hyderabad in Writ Petition No.17400/ 2016 to the applicants in present OA.

(iii) The applicants shall be entitled to all consequential benefits flowing therefrom including upgradation under MACP Scheme, pensionary benefits etc., as applicable.

(iv) However, in the facts and circumstances, there shall be no order as to costs.”

3. At the outset, we may note that on a similar issue and with similar grievances, the petitioners had challenged the Order dated 18.09.2024 passed by the learned Tribunal in O.A. No.197/2020 (on the basis of which the Order impugned in the present petition has been passed) by way of W.P.(C) No.7412/2025, titled **Union of India v. Naresh Kumar & Ors..** The said Writ Petition was dismissed by this Court *vide* Judgment dated 27.05.2025 (Neutral Citation No.2025:DHC:4543-DB).

4. We, therefore, find no merit in the present petition. The same is, accordingly, dismissed. The pending application is also disposed of as infructuous.

NAVIN CHAWLA, J

MADHU JAIN, J

SEPTEMBER 18, 2025/Arya/DG