



\$~14

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 3035/2025**

KRISHAN KUMAR MALIK

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Sarthak Karol (DHCLSC) with
Ms. Neelakshi Bhadauria and Ms.
Tanishka Pawar, Advocates

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Yasir Rauf Ansari, ASC for the
State with Mr. Alok Sharma,
Advocate and SI Sukhvinder Singh,
Crime Branch

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

% **13.11.2025**

1. On the last date of hearing i.e. on 16.10.2025, it was observed as under:

“2. The learned counsel for the petitioner seeks time to take instructions regarding the son and wife of the convict being able to stand as surety for him.”

2. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner states that the son of the petitioner can furnish the surety bond through video conferencing, since he is unable to travel to Delhi. The learned ASC for the State submits that he has no objection to the same.

3. Accordingly, the son of the petitioner may appear through video conferencing before the concerned Jail Superintendent, and tender the surety bond, which may be accepted as per rules.



4. In view of the above, the present petition stands disposed of.
5. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

NOVEMBER 13, 2025/ns
GJ