



\$~44

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 1415/2025 & CM APPL. 59307/2025**

NIRANJAN KUMAR AND ORS

.....Petitioners

Through:

versus

UNION OF INDIA THROUGH ARUNA

NAYAR, SECRETARY AND ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Chetan Sharma, ASG with Mr. Amit Tiwari, CGSC with Mr. Shubham Sharma and Mr. Naman, Advocates for UOI.
Mr. Apoorv Kurup, Senior Advocate with Mr. Amit Tiwari, Ms. Ayushi Srivastava, Mr. Ayush Tanwar, Mr. Arpan Narwal, Mr. Kushagra Malik, Advocates with Mr. Rajeev Singh, PED/RRB, Mr. Rajeev G., ED/RRB and Mr. Vikas Chaurasia, CLA/NRHQ.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT SHARMA

ORDER

13.11.2025

%

1. This hearing has been done through hybrid mode.
2. The present petition under Section 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act read with Article 215 of the Constitution of India seeks following prayers:-

“a) Initiate contempt proceedings against the Respondents for wilful disobedience of the order dated 28.07.2025 read with interim order dated 06.03.2025 passed by this Hon'ble Court in W.P. (C) No. 2771/2025;

b) Stay the operation of the impugned circular dated 08.09.2025



(Annexure P-3), whereby the Respondents have released examination dates in violation of this Hon'ble Court's order;
c) Pass such other and further orders as may be deemed fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the present case.”

3. *Vide* order dated 28.07.2025 in W.P.(C) 2771/2025, learned Division Bench of this Court had passed the following order:-

“1. This petition has been filed by the petitioners, challenging the Order dated 14.02.2025 passed by the learned Central Administrative Tribunal, Principal Bench, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as ‘Tribunal’) in O.A. No.296/2025, titled Niranjana Kumar v. M/O Railways, whereby the application for interim relief filed by the petitioners in the said O.A. was dismissed.

2. This Court, by its Interim Order dated 06.03.2025, had recorded the statement of the learned counsel for the respondents that no recruitment would be made till the next date of hearing. The said interim order has continued.

3. In the meantime, we are informed that the learned Tribunal is not proceeding with the adjudication of the O.A. due to the pendency of the present writ petition, and has adjourned the same sine die. The Order dated 02.05.2025 passed by the learned Tribunal has been placed on record in this regard.

4. In view of the above, we dispose of this writ petition by directing that the statement made by the learned counsel for the respondents shall continue to operate during the pendency of the O.A. before the learned Tribunal.

5. We direct that the O.A. be listed before the learned Tribunal on 18th August, 2025. The parties shall appear before the learned Tribunal on the said date.”

4. It is pointed out that the aforesaid OA has since been dismissed *vide* judgment dated 12.11.2025.

5. In view of the above, no further directions are called for.

6. The present petition is disposed of accordingly.

7. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

8. Notice issued in the present petition stands discharged.



9. Interim order dated 18.09.2025 stands vacated.

NOVEMBER 13, 2025/sn/db

AMIT SHARMA, J