



\$~133

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 14459/2025 and CM APPL.59221/2025

M/S SUMANGLAM INTERNATIONAL PVT LTD & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Anurag Ojha, Mr. Mrinal Singh
and Mr. Karan Aggarwal, Advocates.

versus

DESIGNATED AUTHORITY

DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF TRADE REMEDIES AND ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Anubha Bhardwaj, CGSC
alongwith Ms. Anchal Kashyap and
Ms. Priyanka Gupta, Advocates.
Mr. Jitendra Singh and Mr.
Shailendra Dubey, Advocates for R3.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SACHIN DATTA

ORDER

% **23.09.2025**

**CM APPLs.59222/2025 (Exemption) and 59223/2025 (permission to file
lengthy synopsis and list of dates and events)**

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Applications stand disposed of.

W.P.(C) 14459/2025

3. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner in respect of an investigation initiated under the Customs Tariff (Identification, Assessment and Collection of Anti-Dumping Duty on Dumped Articles and for Determination of Injury) Rules, 1995 ("Anti-Dumping Rules, 1995")
4. The initiation of the anti-dumping investigation was in respect of "Black Toner Powder Cartridge" ; the same was initiated *vide* notification



6/41/2024-DGTR dated 30.09.2024.

5. Admittedly, in terms of the procedure prescribed in the aforesaid statutory rules, the initiation notification was followed by an investigation in which the concerned parties participated and were called upon to submit the relevant information; a hearing was also afforded in terms of the relevant rules. The investigation process led up to issuance of a disclosure statement dated 09.09.2025 but the investigation has not yet culminated in any “final findings” being issued by the designated authority.

6. The grievance of the petitioner is that the disclosure statement dated 09.09.2025 enlarges the scope of ‘Product under Consideration’ (PUC). It transpires during the course of hearing that the petitioner’s grievance with regard thereto has been articulated in the submissions submitted by the petitioner pursuant to the disclosure statement; the same has also been annexed as Annexure P1 to the present petition.

7. During the course of hearing, it is stated by the learned counsel for the respondent/s, who appears on advance notice, that the said submissions of the petitioner shall necessarily be taken into account at the time of issuance of “final findings”.

8. The same shall be subject to appellate remedies of the petitioner in the event of the any notification imposing anti-dumping duty being issued, based on the final findings.

9. In the circumstances, this Court is not inclined to interfere with the Investigation process at this stage.

10. Accordingly, the present petition stands dismissed, taking on record the aforesaid statement of the respondents that the objections/submissions submitted by the petitioner pursuant to the disclosure statement dated



09.09.2025 shall be taken into account by the designated authority at the time of issuance of “final findings”.

11. Pending application also stands disposed of.

SACHIN DATTA, J

SEPTEMBER 23, 2025/at