



\$~36

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 14315/2024

VEENA RANI JOSHI

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Yogendra Kumar Verma,  
Mr. Jayesh Kain, Mr. Manish  
Narang, Mr. Anmol Pandey,  
Adv.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Prajesh Vikram Srivastava,  
SPC with Mr. Amit Acharya,  
Govt. Pleader and Mr.  
Bhuvnesh Vikram, Adv.  
Mr. S. Rajappa Mr. R.  
Gowrishankar and Ms. G.  
Dhivyasri for KVS.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN CHAWLA**

**HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MADHU JAIN**

**ORDER**

%

**20.11.2025**

1. This petition has been filed challenging the Order dated 20.12.2023 passed by the learned Central Administrative Tribunal, Principal Bench, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as, the 'Tribunal') in O.A. No. 4656/2018, titled ***Mrs. Veena Rani Joshi & Ors. v. Union of India & Ors.***, whereby the said O.A. filed by the petitioners herein, seeking, *inter alia*, the grant of pensions under the GPF-cum-Pension Scheme was, rejected.

2. The issue raised by the petitioners now stands covered by our Judgment dated 02.09.2025, titled ***Bharti Bahuguna v. Kendriya Vidyalaya Sangathan & Ors.***, 2025:DHC:7629-DB.



3. The learned counsels for the respondents have, however, raised an objection with respect to the delay in filing the present petitions.
4. We find merit in the said submission of the learned counsels for the respondents, however, at the same time, as the matter involves a claim of pension, we balance the equities by directing that, for the intervening period, that is, from the date of the Order passed by the learned Tribunal till the filing of the present Writ Petition, the petitioners would not be entitled to claim interest on any amount found payable to them pursuant to the relief granted by us in the aforesaid Judgment.
5. The petition is, accordingly, disposed of in the above terms.

**NAVIN CHAWLA, J**

**MADHU JAIN, J**

**NOVEMBER 20, 2025/prg/P/Yg**