



\$~18

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **RC.REV. 283/2025, CM APPL. 59057/2025**

JEET AHUJA & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: None.

versus

KARTIK GIRDHAR

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Prince Arora, Adv. (through
VC)

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

13.11.2025

%

1. Learned counsel for the respondent/ landlord appearing through video conferencing submits that pursuant to the order dated 17.09.2025 passed by this Court, the respondent/ landlord has received the vacant, peaceful and physical possession of the subject premises.
2. Considering the aforesaid, learned counsel for the respondent/ landlord submits that he shall not press for the user and occupation charges fixed *vide* aforesaid order dated 17.09.2025.
5. As such, in view of the judgment passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *N.C. Daga vs. Inder Mohan Singh Rana* [2003(1) SCC 453] and *Vinod Kumar Verma vs. Manmohan Verma & Anr.* [Civil Appeal Nos.5220-5221 of 2008, order dated 19.08.2008], the present petition has become infructuous as there is nothing remaining therein.
3. Accordingly, the present petition, alongwith the pending



application, stand disposed of.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

NOVEMBER 13, 2025/bh