



\$~60

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

C.R.P. 262/2025 & CM APPL. 58714-58716/2025

DEVENDER KUMAR

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Amith, Mr. R. S. Bhatia, Advs.

versus

**SMT. RAM KAUR (DECEASED) THROUGH LEGAL HEIR SMT.
SATYA PRAKASHI**

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Naveen Kumar Goyal and Ms.
Ritika Goyal, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE TARA VITASTA GANJU

ORDER

%

16.09.2025

CM APPL. 58716/2025 [*Exemption from filing certified copies*]

1. Allowed, subject to just exceptions.
2. The Application stands disposed of.

CM APPL. 58714/2025 [*Condonation of delay*]

3. This is an Application filed on behalf of the Petitioner seeking condonation of delay of 145 days in filing the present Petition.
4. Learned Counsel for Respondent submits that he has no objection if the prayer in the present Application is allowed.
5. Accordingly, and for the reasons as stated in the Application, the delay is condoned.
6. The Application stands disposed of.

C.R.P. 262/2025 & CM APPL. 58715/2025 [*Stay*]

7. The present Petition has been filed on behalf of the Petitioner under Section 115 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 [hereinafter referred to as "CPC"] against the judgment and decree dated 27.11.2024 passed by learned



District Judge, East District, Karkardooma Courts, Delhi [hereinafter referred to as “Impugned Judgment”]. By the Impugned Judgment, a suit filed by the Respondent/Plaintiff under Section 6 of the Specific Relief Act, 1963 has been decreed.

8. After some arguments, learned Counsel for the Petitioner seeks and is granted liberty of the Court to withdraw the present Petition.

9. The Petition is dismissed as withdrawn. The pending Application also stands closed.

10. The parties will act based on the digitally signed copy of the order.

TARA VITASTA GANJU, J

SEPTEMBER 16, 2025/r