



\$~62

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 6600/2025**

MIRTUNJAY RAM & ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Ms. Nikita Sharma, Mr.
Akshay Mishra and Ms.
Deepali Anand, Advocates
along with petitioners in
person.

versus

STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI
AND ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Sunil Kumar Gautam,
APP for the State with SI
Chanda Singh, PS
Dwarka.
Mr. Ratneshwar Kumar,
Advocate for R2 to 5.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN

ORDER

% **16.09.2025**

1. The present petition is filed seeking quashing of FIR No. 80/2017 ('**FIR**') dated 09.04.2017, registered at Police Station Najafgarh for the offences under Sections 279/337 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('**IPC**') including all consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

2. The FIR was registered on a complaint given by Respondent No. 2. It is alleged that on 09.04.2017 when Respondent No. 2 was coming from his aunt's house with his mother on his motorcycle, Petitioner No. 1, while driving his tractor-trolley in a rash and negligent manner, hit the motorcycle



of Respondent No. 2 as a consequence of which his mother fell down. It is alleged that thereafter, the tractor-trolley driven by Petitioner No. 1 dragged the victim for 10-15 metres and thereafter Petitioner No. 1 drove away from the spot. The victim, as a consequence of the accident, sustained severe injuries and subsequently succumbed to the same.

3. The present petition is filed on the ground that the parties have amicably settled all their disputes before the Mediation Centre, Dwarka Courts and have entered into a Settlement dated 05.04.2025.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have since realised their mistakes and have settled the remaining grievances with the legal heirs of the victim. She consequently submits that the subject FIR and proceedings emanating therefrom be quashed in view of the settlement between the parties.

5. It is pertinent to note that serious allegations have been made against the petitioners. In the present case, the allegations against the petitioners are that the tractor-trolley driven by Petitioner No. 1 and owned by Petitioner No. 2, hit the motorcycle driven by Respondent No. 2 as a consequence of which his mother fell down. The victim was further dragged for about 10-15 metres, and she subsequently died as a result of the injuries. Petitioner No. 1 also fled the spot after the accident.

6. Considering the gravity of the offence, in the opinion of this Court, an offence cannot be put to rest only because the parties have settled the disputes. The victim is alleged to have lost her life pursuant to the accident.

7. Considering the allegations, this Court is of the opinion



that the FIR and the proceedings arising therefrom cannot be quashed solely on the ground of settlement between the accused and the family of the victim who have decided to put an end to the case on being satisfied with the receipt of money.

8. The present petition is accordingly dismissed.

AMIT MAHAJAN, J

SEPTEMBER 16, 2025

DU