



\$~27

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 6547/2025 & CRL.M.A. 27569/2025,
CRL.M.A. 27570/2025

JITENDRA YADAV

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Jyoti Prabhash
Kumar, Mr. Sarthak
Yadav, Ms. Akansha
Vasishtha, Mr. Nikhil
Chaurasia, Advocates
along with petitioner in
person.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANRRespondents

Through: Mr. Ritesh Kumar Bahri,
APP for the State with SI
Pradeep Kumar, PS
Cyber/NW Delhi.
Mr. Mohit Yadav and Ms.
Yogita, Advocates for R-2
along with R-2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN

ORDER

08.12.2025

%

1. The present petition is filed seeking quashing of FIR No. 59/2024 dated 16.08.2024, registered at Police Station Cyber Police Station North West, for offences under Sections 319(2)/318(4) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 ('BNS'), including the proceedings emanating therefrom.

2. It is alleged that on 08.08.2024, Respondent No. 2 received a phone call from a person claiming to be a representative of AU Bank. It is alleged that Respondent No. 2 was offered a credit card with various benefits and was asked to share details regarding previously used credit cards.



3. It is alleged that the said person sent a link to Respondent No. 2 for downloading the AU bank app, however, as soon as Respondent No. 2 put his credit card details his phone got jammed and started receiving multiple debit notifications on his mobile phone. Pursuant to a complaint filed by Respondent No. 2 the present FIR was registered.

4. The present petition has been filed on the ground that the parties have amicably settled all their disputes by way of Memorandum of Understanding on 05.09.2025, out of their own free will, without any coercion, pressure, undue influence, force, misrepresentation or mistake.

5. The parties are present in person and have been duly identified by the Investigating Officer.

6. On being asked, Respondent No. 2 states that all the disputes between the parties have been settled. He submits that pursuant to the settlement, he has also received the entire settlement amount from the petitioner. He further states that he does not wish to pursue any proceedings arising out of the present FIR and has no objection if the same are quashed.

7. Offences under Sections 319(2)/318(4) of the BNS are compoundable in nature. Petitioner is also found to be not involved in any other case.

8. This Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose would be served by relegating the parties to the learned Trial Court for filing an application to compound the offences.

9. Keeping in view the nature of dispute and that the parties have amicably entered into a settlement, this Court feels that no useful purpose would be served by keeping the dispute alive and



continuance of the proceedings would amount to abuse of the process of Court.

10. In view of the above, FIR No. 59/2024 and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are quashed.

11. The present petition is allowed in aforesaid terms. Pending applications also stand disposed of.

AMIT MAHAJAN, J

DECEMBER 8, 2025

DU