



\$~17

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 6554/2025**

VIJAY KUMAR & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Sandeep Choudhary and Mr. Lalit Naagaalong, Advs. with P-1.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Raghuvinder Verma, APP for the State along with SI Ravi Yadav.
R-2 with her counsel Mr. Rajveer Singh, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

%

04.11.2025

1. By way of the present petition, the petitioners seek quashing of FIR bearing no. 450/2016, registered at Police Station Govindpuri, South East District, New Delhi, for the commission of offence punishable under Sections 498A/406/506/34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereafter 'IPC') and subsequent proceedings arising out of the said FIR.
2. Issue notice. The learned APP accepts notice on behalf of the State.
3. Petitioner no. 1 and respondent no. 2 are present before this Court and have been identified by their counsels and concerned Investigating Officer (IO) from Police Station Govindpuri, South East District, New Delhi.
4. Brief facts of the present case are that the marriage between petitioner no. 1 and respondent no. 2 was solemnized on 10.10.2017 as per Hindu rites



and ceremonies. One male child, namely, Daksh, was born out of the said wedlock on 04.07.2019, who is presently in the custody of respondent no. 2. It is stated that on the complaint of respondent no. 2, the present FIR was registered against the petitioners. During pendency of the case, both the parties had amicably settled their disputes *vide* Memorandum of Understanding dated 29.08.2025, entered between them.

5. On a query made by this Court, respondent no.2, who has been identified by the IO, has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise out of her own free will and without any pressure, coercion or threat. Respondent no. 2 states that she has no objection, if the present FIR is quashed.

6. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the affidavits showing the protection of interest of minor child, as per the judgment titled as ***Ganesh vs. Sudhirkumar Shrivastava: (2020) 20 SCC 787*** passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, have been filed and the same are on record. It is stated that the custody of the minor child is with respondent no. 2 and the future rights of the child will not be affected by virtue of the aforesaid settlement agreement.

7. In view of the above fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences of their own free will, and without any coercion, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. It would thus be in interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

8. Accordingly, FIR bearing no. 450/2016, registered at Police Station Govindpuri, South East District, New Delhi, for the commission of offence



punishable under Sections 498A/406/506/34 of IPC and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

9. In view of above, the present petition stands disposed of.
10. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

NOVEMBER 4, 2025/A