



\$~9

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 1395/2025**

R K SHARMA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. V.P. Sharma, Adv.
versus

**SH AMARJEET SINGH C.E.O BSES YAMUNA POWER LTD.
AND ORS**

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Manish Srivastava, Mr. Moksh
Arora & Mr. Santosh R., Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT SHARMA

ORDER

25.09.2025

%

1. This hearing has been done through hybrid mode.
2. The present petition under Section 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 seeks the following prayers:-

“(i) That, the Petitioner therefore respectfully prays that the Respondents be held guilty of contempt for willfully disobeying the order passed by this Hon'ble Court in FAO 37/2025, dated 21.04.2025;

(ii) That the Respondents be punished as per the provisions of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, for their willful disobedience of the order of this Hon'ble Court.

(iii) That the Respondents be directed to immediately issue the current electricity Consumption bill to the Petitioner in accordance with the order passed by this Hon'ble Court for domestic consumption.

(iv) That the Respondents be directed to pay compensation for the inconvenience and hardship caused to the Petitioner due to their willful non-compliance;

(v) Any other relief(s) that this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case.”



3. Vide order dated 21.04.2025, the learned Single Judge has disposed of FAO 37/2025 titled as 'R.K. Sharma Advocate vs. M/s BSES Yamuna Power Ltd. Through CEO & Ors.' by passing following directions:-

“3. The appellant, however, shall continue to pay the current demand charges in accordance with the law. The costs of Rs. 2,000/- imposed upon the appellant shall also stand waived.”

4. By way of the present petition, it is submitted that the aforesaid direction are not being complied with and the respondent has not been raising the current bills. Necessary averments in the present petition are as under:-

“2. That the Hon'ble Court, while disposing of the appeal, had also specifically directed that the Petitioner shall continue to pay the current demand charges in accordance with the law. Furthermore, the costs imposed on the Petitioner were waived, and the benefits extended to the Petitioner through the order dated 26.11.2022 were to continue until the disposal of the matter in the Trial Court.

3. That despite the clear and categorical directions of this Hon'ble Court, the Respondents have failed to comply with the said order in letter and spirit, specifically in not providing the current domestic electricity consumption bill to the Petitioner. The Respondents' actions of withholding the bills have resulted in undue hardship to the Petitioner, as the Petitioner has been unable to comply with the requirement to pay the current demand charges due to non-receipt of the consumption bills.”

5. Learned counsel for the respondent refutes the aforesaid position and submits that the bills for the period, after passing of the aforesaid order i.e. for the month of April 2025, May 2025, June 2025, July 2025 and August 2025 has been sent on the mobile number of the petitioner as well as on the registered email id. Copies of the bills have been handed over to the counsel for the petitioner, who submits that these bills are incorrect.



6. Be that as it may, the present petition is not maintainable as the bills have been regularly provided to the petitioner. If there is any grievance with respect to the aforesaid bills, appropriate remedy may be taken by the petitioner in accordance with law.

7. The petition is dismissed and disposed of.

AMIT SHARMA, J

SEPTEMBER 25, 2025/nk/sg