



\$~40

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 1391/2025**

SANJEEV KUMAR

.....Petitioner

Through: **Mr. Abhishek Sandillya, Advocate.**

versus

**RAMESH VERMA CHAIRMAN DELHI SUBORDINATE
SERVICES SELECTION BOARD & ORS.**

.....Respondents

Through: **Ms. Avshreya Pratap Singh Rudy,
CGSC with Ms. Usha Jamnal, Mr.
Mohammad Junaid Mahmood and Ms.
Prajna Pandita, Advocates.
Ms. Avnish Ahlawat, GNCTD
Services with Mr. Nitesh Kumar
Singh, Ms. Aliza Alam and Mr.
Mohnish Sehrawat, Advocates.**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT SHARMA

ORDER

%

03.11.2025

1. This hearing has been done through hybrid mode.
2. The present petition under Article 215 of the Constitution of India read with Sections 11 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 seeks following prayers:-

“A. Initiate contempt proceedings against the Respondents for their willful and deliberate disobedience of the order dated 14.07.2025 passed by this Hon'ble Court in W.P.(C) 8521/2025;

B. Direct the Respondents (Contemnors) to forthwith comply with the said order dated 14.07.2025 by providing to the Petitioner his evaluated answer sheets, question papers, answer keys, and marking schemes of the Common Assistant Accounts Officer (Civil) Examination-202 1 (Part-II)



at the earliest;

C. Direct the Respondents (Contemnors) to forthwith comply with the said order by directing Respondent No. 1 (Contemnor No. 1) and Respondent No. 4 (Contemnor No. 4) to consider the request of the petitioner for re-evaluation of his answer sheet of Paper-3 (Cost and Management Accounts) of the said examination and declare the revised results at the earliest, enabling the Petitioner to decide about his eligibility to apply for regular appointment under the circular dated 27.05.2025 issued by the Office of District & Sessions Judge (HQs), Delhi, and allowing him sufficient time to submit his application before the deadline or to prepare for the next examination to be conducted by Respondent No. 1 (Contemnor No. 1).

D. Pass such other or further orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the present case, in the interest of justice."

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the respondents have since supplied the documents and he does not wish to press the present petition.
4. Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of as not pressed.
5. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of .

AMIT SHARMA, J

NOVEMBER 03, 2025/sn/sg