



\$~19

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.M.C. 6467/2025**
MR. FAIZ AHMAD & ORS.

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Matloob Alam, Mr. Arvind Kumar, Mr. Haimanti Roy Choudhury and Mr. Gourav Ghosh, Advocates.

versus

THE STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Ajay Vikram Singh, APP for the State along with SI Akshay Dagar PS Shaheen Bagh.
Mr. M Hasibuddin and Ruhani Sahanu Advocates for R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

% **10.10.2025**

CRL.M.A.27289/2025 (Seeking Exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to just exceptions.
2. The Application stands disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 6467/2025

3. A Criminal Misc. Petition under Section 528 of the Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 has been filed on behalf of the Petitions seeking quashing of FIR No.120/2021 under Section 498A/406/34 IPC registered at Police Station Shaheen Bagh, New Delhi in view of Memorandum of Settlement dated 27.02.2025.



4. Brief facts of the case are that the marriage was solemnized between petitioner No. 1 and respondent No. 2 on 21.11.2019 according to Muslim Shariat. It is stated that no child was born out of the said wedlock.

5. It is further submitted that thereafter the Respondent No.2 lodged a Complaint before CAW Cell against the Petitioner and on the basis of her Complaint an FIR bearing No. 120/2021 under Sections 498A/406/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 got registered at Police Station Shaheen Bagh, New Delhi.

6. It is stated that the Petitioner filed a Criminal Revision against the Respondent No.2 against the Interim Maintenance Order dated 28.09.2022 before this Court. The matter was referred to Mediation and Conciliation Centre, Delhi High Court, where both the parties amicably settled all the disputes and differences *vide* Memorandum of Settlement dated 27.02.2025, and it was *inter alia* settled between the parties that the respondent No. 2/wife and the petitioner No. 1/husband shall dissolve their marriage by mutual consent. It is stated that petitioner No. 1/husband shall pay a sum of Rs. 11,25,000/- to the respondent No. 2/wife towards full and final amount of all the claims of the respondent No. 2/wife. It is also stated that the Petitioner No. 1 has already paid Rs.2,25,000 to respondent No. 2/wife at the time of execution of Talaq-e-Mubaraat. The Petitioners have also paid an amount Rs.3,00,000/- to Respondent No.2 in the Court of learned JMFC, Mahila Court, SED, Saket on 15.07.2025.

7. It is further stated that the balance amount of Rs. 6,00,000/- shall be paid by the petitioner No. 1/husband at the time of quashing of FIR No. 120/2021.



8. It is also stated that on 11.03.2025, the marriage between petitioner No. 1 and respondent No. 2 had been dissolved as per Talaq-e-Mubaraat.

9. In view of the Memorandum of Settlement dated 27.02.2025, the present petition has been filed.

10. The parties are present before this Court in-person today, and have been identified by their counsel and Investigating Officer concerned. The parties have endorsed the amicable settlement and accepted the terms thereof voluntarily.

11. The balance amount of Rs. 6,00,000/- has been paid to the respondent No. 2/wife by the petitioner No. 1 today in the Court *via* two Banker Cheques No. “171619” and “190296” both dated 29.08.2025 in the sum of Rs.3,00,000/- each, and the same has been confirmed by the respondent No. 2/wife.

12. The parties have submitted that all the disputes have been amicably settled *vide* Memorandum of Settlement dated 27.02.2025 and thus, no fruitful purpose will be served in continuing with the FIR.

13. The present petition has been signed by all the parties and is supported by their respective affidavits. The parties have reaffirmed the terms of the settlement arrived at *vide* Memorandum of Settlement dated 27.02.2025 and they also submit that the said Settlement Deed has been arrived at between the parties without any pressure and coercion.



14. Today, the complainant/respondent No. 2/wife, who is present in Court, states that she has received all amounts due to her and has no objection if the FIR is quashed.

15. In view of the above facts that the parties have amicably resolved their differences out of their own free will and without any coercion and also the fact that the present matter is a family matter, I am of the opinion that no useful purpose will be served in continuing with the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. Hence, it would be in the interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto.

16. Moreover, there is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

17. Accordingly, FIR bearing No. 120/2021 registered at Police Station Shaheen Bagh, for offences punishable under Sections 498A/406/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

18. The petition stands disposed of.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

OCTOBER 10, 2025

va