



\$~77

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 2923/2025 & CRL.M.A. 27203/2025 FOR DIRECTION
TO RESP. TO ENSURE THAT NO COERCIVE ACTION,
HARASSMENT OR INTIMIDATION IS CARRIED OUT AGAINST
THE PETITIONER**

XXXXX

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Priyanshu Upadhyay, Mr. Akash
Bansal, Ms. Sonali Handa, Advs.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI AND ORS.

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Anand V Khatri, ASC with Insp.
Sumit Kumar, SI Dharmendra
Sharma, PS Patel Nagar.

**CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVINDER DUDEJA**

ORDER
11.09.2025

%

W.P.(CRL) 2923/2025

1. This is a writ petition filed by the petitioner with the following prayers:

“In view of the premise stated hereinabove, it is most respectfully prayed that the Hon"ble Court may be pleased to

a) Issue an appropriate writ, order or direction including a writ of mandamus directing the Respondents to conduct an independent inquiry/fair investigation by a specialised agency not connected with the police station Patel Nagar, into the petitioner's complaint dated 19.06.2025 and the reprehensible incident which took place at the police station Patel Nagar and at the



RML hospital on the intervening night of 25-26th august, 2025;

b) Issue an appropriate writ, order or direction including a writ of mandamus directing the respondents to take strict actions against the concerned delinquent police officials for their illegal and unlawful acts of harassment meted out to the petitioner;

c) Issue an appropriate writ, order or direction including a writ of mandamus directing the respondents to preserve, secure and place on record before this Hon'ble court the CCTV footages of all the cameras installed inside and around the police station Patel Nagar and RML hospital for the time period from 3 30 pm on 25 08.2025 to 4 00 am on 26.08 2025 as well as the CCTV footages of all the cameras installed by the GNCTD and/or private residents near the police station and the RML hospital for the above period as well as the call detail records of the IO and other police officials for the said period;

d) Issue an appropriate writ, order or direction including a writ of mandamus directing the Respondents to adequately compensate the Petitioner for the ex-facie egregious acts of the police officers culpable of violating the fundamental rights of the Petitioner as guaranteed under the Constitution of India, 1950,

e) Pass such order(s) which the Hon'ble Court deems fit in the facts of the case”

2. The Ld. Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner had made a complaint against a private person at Police Station Patel Nagar but no action was taken on her complaint whereupon she filed a complaint under Section 223 BNSS, 2023 (200 Cr.P.C.) and an application under Section 175(3) BNSS, 2023 [156(3) Cr.P.C.] against the concerned private persons. Upon such complaint the Court directed the Police to file the action taken report.



3. It is submitted that on 23.08.2025, petitioner received a call from the Investigating Officer who pressurized her to withdraw her complaint and on 25.08.2025 she was called to the police station to join investigation. When she went to the police station, the private person Om Prakash against whom she had made the complaint was already present at the police station. He along with the Investigation Officer and other police officials assaulted the petitioner and pressurized her to take back her complaint. Petitioner made phone calls at 112 number many times but the same was not responded. She managed to run away from the police station and called the PCR to take her for the MLC but her request was not accepted as she was asked to first make call at 112.

4. It is submitted that petitioner along with her mother and sister went to RML hospital but was not medically examined by the doctor saying that it was not the jurisdictional hospital.

5. The Ld. Counsel submits that petitioner has threats to her life from the private person Om Prakash as also from the officials of the Police Station Patel Nagar and therefore, confines his prayer in the present petition to the extent of providing safety and security to the petitioner.

6. It is the duty of the State to provide safety and security to all the citizen and this Court being a Constitutional Court is expected to further the Constitutional rights of the petitioner. State is therefore directed to provide protection to the petitioner to ensure that no harm befalls to her.

7. Petitioner is stated to be residing in Daryaganj. The petition is disposed of with direction to ACP, Daryaganj to share mobile numbers of the Beat Constable and the Division Officer of the area with the petitioner so that in case of any need, the petitioner may immediately contact such



persons. ACP is further directed to suitably counsel the Beat Staff and the Division Officer of the area and direct the Beat Constable to make telephone call at least once every day for the next two weeks to the petitioner at about 5 PM and ensure that no harm is caused to the petitioner.

8. The Ld. Counsel for the petitioner submits that he may be granted liberty to file application before the Trial Court for preservation of the CDR and CCTV footage of the Police Station Patel Nagar. He is granted such liberty.

9. Petition accordingly stands disposed of.

RAVINDER DUDEJA, J

SEPTEMBER 11, 2025/lks/sk