



\$~70

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 6442/2025 & CRL.M.A. 27185/2025**

GUNMEET DABAS @ NISHU

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Ankit Verma, Mr.
Sachin Verma, Dr. Raj
Kumar Sharma, Mr.
Dipanshu Kumar & Mr.
Ram Kishan, Advs. along
with petitioner in person.

versus

THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Raj Kumar, APP for
the State.
SI Naveen Dahiya, PS
Prashant Vihar.
R-2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN

ORDER

%

11.09.2025

1. The present petition is filed seeking quashing of FIR No. 219/2019 dated 28.08.2019, registered at Police Station Prashant Vihar, for offences under Sections 341/323/506/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('IPC').
2. The FIR was registered on the complaint given by Respondent No.2 alleging that accused Amit Bhardwaj (since deceased) had called him to meet at a hotel to settle a prior commercial dispute. It is alleged that accused Amit did not show up for the talks and he sent the petitioner there to pressurise Respondent No.2 instead. Allegedly, the petitioner threatened Respondent No.2 to withdraw the case that had been registered by him against accused Amit and physically assaulted him as



well.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that heated arguments at the time of meeting led to a scuffle and the parties have since settled the disputes. He further states that the co-accused Amit Bhardwaj has already expired on 15.01.2022.

4. The present petition is filed on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes on their own free will, without any coercion, undue influence, pressure or threat. The petition is supported by an affidavit of Respondent No.2 wherein he has deposed that the matter has been settled.

5. The parties are present in person in Court and they have been duly identified by the Investigating Officer.

6. On being asked, Respondent No.2 states that he has settled all his disputes and has no remaining grievance against the petitioner. He states that he does not wish to pursue any proceeding arising out of the present FIR and has no objection if the same is quashed.

7. The petitioner apologises for his misbehavior and undertakes not to indulge into any such activities in future.

8. The petitioner is bound to the said undertaking.

9. Offences under Sections 323/341/506 of the IPC are compoundable in nature.

10. This Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose would be served by relegating the parties to the learned Trial Court for filing an application to compound the offence.

11. Keeping in view the nature of dispute and that the parties have amicably entered into a settlement, this Court feels that no useful purpose would be served by keeping the dispute alive and



continuance of the proceedings would amount to abuse of the process of Court.

12. However, keeping in mind the fact that the State machinery has been put to motion, ends of justice would be served if the petitioners are put to cost.

13. In view of the above, FIR No. 219/2019 and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are quashed, subject to payment of total cost of ₹30,000/- by petitioner, to be deposited with the Delhi Police Martyrs' Fund, within a period of three months from date.

14. Proof of deposit of cost be submitted to the concerned SHO.

15. The present petition is allowed in aforesaid terms.

16. Pending application also stands disposed of.

AMIT MAHAJAN, J

SEPTEMBER 11, 2025

“SK”