



\$~66

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 6414/2025**

NEERAJ KUMAR @ NEERAJ SINGHPetitioner

Through: Petitioners with their counsel Mr.
Subhash Chandra, Adv.

versus

THE STATE (GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHIRespondent

Through: Mr.Hitesh Vali, APP for the State.
R-2 with her counsel Mr. Arvind
Kumar, Adv. along with ASI Vikram
Singh.

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

% **11.09.2025**

CRL.M.A. 27088/2025 (exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 6414/2025

3. By way of the present petition, the petitioners seek quashing of FIR bearing no. 132/2015, registered at Police Station Karawal Nagar, Delhi, for commission of offence punishable under Sections 498A/406/34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereafter 'IPC') and Sections 3 and 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961 (hereafter 'DP Act').
4. Issue notice. The learned APP accepts notice on behalf of the State.
5. Both the petitioners and respondent no. 2 are present before this Court



and have been identified by their counsel and Investigating Officer (IO) from Police Station Karawal Nagar, Delhi.

6. Brief facts of the present case are that a marriage between petitioner no. 1 and respondent no. 2 was solemnized on 11.05.2003 according to Hindu rites and ceremonies. One male child Master Mayank was born out of their wedlock. Due to temperamental differences, parties started living separately since 25.05.2008. It is stated that on the complaint of respondent no. 2, the present FIR was registered at the concerned Police Station under the relevant Sections. It is however stated that during pendency of the case, both the parties had amicably settled their disputes vide Settlement Agreement dated 03.09.2024, arrived at before the Delhi Mediation Centre, Karkardooma Courts, Delhi and had obtained decree of divorce, by way of mutual consent, before the concerned Court.

7. On a query made by this Court, respondent no.2 who has been identified by the IO, has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise out of her own free will and without any pressure, coercion or threat. It is also stated by respondent no.2 that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between them vide aforesaid Settlement Agreement dated 03.09.2024. Respondent no. 2 further stated that she has received the last payment of Rs. 2,00,000/-, due to her as per settlement *vide* demand Draft No. 2000520, drawn on Canara Bank and has no objection if the present FIR is quashed.

8. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the affidavits showing the protection of interest of minor child as per the judgment titled as ***Ganesh vs. Sudhirkumar Shrivastava: (2020) 20 SCC 787*** passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court have been filed and the same are on record. It is



stated that the custody of the minor child is with respondent no. 2 and the future rights will not be affected by virtue of the aforesaid settlement agreement.

9. In view of the above fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences of their own free will, and without any coercion, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. It would thus be in interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

10. Accordingly, FIR bearing no. 132/2015, registered at Police Station Karawal Nagar, Delhi, for commission of offence punishable under Sections 498A/406/34 of IPC and Sections 3 and 4 of the DP Act and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

11. In view of above, the present petition stands disposed of.

12. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

SEPTEMBER 11, 2025/A