



\$~105

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 2903/2025 and CRL.M.A. 27055/2025**

AMARJEET SINGH & ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Tarun Kr. Makhija, Ms. Manisha
and Ms. Muskan, Advocates with
petitioners in person.

versus

STATE NCT OF DEHI AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Anand V. Khatri, ASC (Crl.) with
SI Amit, PS – S.P. Badli.
Mr. Sudhir Vats and Mr. Naveen
Kumar, Advocates for respondent
no.2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

ORDER

%

10.09.2025

1. Petitioners herein seek quashing of FIR No. 404/2022 dated 30.05.2022 lodged under Sections 498A, 406, 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Samaipur Badli, along with any consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise arrived between the parties.
2. The dispute between the private parties stems from marital discord between Petitioner No. 1 (the husband) and Respondent No. 2 (the wife). The couple was married on 30.11.2008 according to Hindu Sikh rites. Two children are also born from of their wedlock. Petitioner no. 2 is mother of petitioner no. 1.



3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the parties have now amicably settled the matter before the Counseling Cell, Tis Hazari *vide* counselling settlement dated 23.04.2025 which is placed on record **(Annexure P-2)**. He further submits that an affidavit of no objection to the quashing, deposed by respondent no.2, has also been placed on record.

4. In response to a Court query, both the counsel for Respondent No. 2 and the learned ASC for the State concur with the factum of the compromise arrived between the parties and convey their no objection to the quashing of the FIR.

4. In the aforesaid backdrop, I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners and respondent no. 2 as well as perused the material available on record.

5. Parties are present in Court, and I have interacted with them. Respondent no. 2 in the FIR is present in person, and I have interacted with her and it so appears that she has settled the dispute without any duress and coercion and out of her own free will. All the family members jointly state that they regret that what was a family matter turned into unnecessary criminal proceedings. Both sides wish to withdraw the cross cases filed against each other and not press any charges in view of the settlement. Pursuant thereto, the husband and wife have resumed their matrimony and are living happily together.

6. Thus, further proceedings in the present matter would be an abuse of the process of law. Particularly, when the parties have amicably settled their disputes and are now enjoying matrimonial bliss after burying the hatchet. The dispute does not involve any public interest or concern of society at



large. Rather, continuation of proceedings may result in hostility between the parties and defeat the very purpose of settlement.

7. The trial would serve no fruitful purpose. Therefore, the proceedings deserve to be quashed in exercise of the inherent powers of the Court. Reference in this context may also be had to judgment rendered in the case of *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab &Anr. [(2012) 10 SCC 303]*.

8. In the premise, looking at larger interest of justice, invoking inherent powers under Section 528 BNSS, it is deemed expedient to quash the FIR in question arising out of matrimonial dispute between the private parties.

9. Consequently, the instant petition is allowed. The criminal proceedings arising out of FIR No. 404/2022 dated 30.05.2022 lodged under Sections 498A, 406, 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Samaipur Badli, against the petitioners and further proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.

10. Pending application, if any, shall also stand disposed of.

ARUN MONGA, J

SEPTEMBER 10, 2025

kd