



\$~73

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ BAIL APPLN. 3452/2025

SARABJIT SINGH @ SUNNY (IN JC) THROUGH HIS

PAROKAR/BROTHER HARWINDER SINGHPetitioner

Through: Mr. Arashdeep Singh Bhalla, Adv.
versus

THE STATE (GNCT OF DELHI)Respondent

Through: Ms. Richa Dhawan, APP for State
with SI Tej Singh Rathee HC Deepak
Kumar PS Cyber Dwarka.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS MAHAJAN

ORDER

% **11.11.2025**

1. The present petition has been filed seeking regular bail under Section 483 of BNS, 2023 in connection with FIR No. 0005/2025 under Sections 318(4)/319/340 BNS 2003 registered at Cyber Police Station Dwarka, Delhi.
2. The case of the prosecution as revealed during investigation is that Rajneesh created Cred ID and gave access of the same to Sandeep Kumar, who in turn gave access of the said ID to the petitioner herein. It is further revealed from the investigation that Sarabjit Singh gave access of Rajneesh's Cred ID to apk file sender.
3. It appears that thereafter, apk file sender deposited the cheated money in the said Cred ID, which was transferred to two different credit card accounts pertaining to credit card numbers XXXXXX6006 and XXXXXX9005.
4. It is alleged that credit card ending with XXXXXX6006 belongs to the petitioner Sarabjit Singh, whereas the credit card bearing no. XXXXXX9005 belongs to Rohit Kumar. It is further alleged that both the



credit cards were used by petitioner/Sarabjit Singh as well as Ajay@Karan.

5. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of petitioner submits that the alleged cheated amount has already been repaid by co-accused namely Dev Raj Verma and Pintu, who were managing the petrol filling station, wherefrom the said amount was withdrawn using the swipe machine of the filling station.

6. He further contends that the allegations against the present petitioner are identical to those levelled against co-accused Ajay@Karan, and the said accused has already enlarged on bail *vide* order dated 14.10.2025.

7. The fact that the present petitioner is similarly situated as co-accused Ajay is also affirmed by the learned APP, on instructions from the IO who is present in the Court.

8. This Court also notes that the petitioner continues to be in custody since 21.06.2025 and the investigation has already concluded and the chargesheet *qua* the petitioner stands filed.

9. It is also not in dispute that no recovery has been affected from the present petitioner.

10. On a query posed by the Court as regards previous involvement of the petitioner, learned APP on instructions from the IO states that the antecedents of the petitioner are clean and there are no previous involvement.

11. In view of the above facts and circumstances, this Court is of the view that the petitioner has made out a case for grant of regular bail. Accordingly, the petitioner is admitted to regular bail subject to him furnishing a personal bond in the sum of Rs.25,000/- with one surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court//JMFC/Duty JM,



further subject to the following conditions:

- (a) The petitioner shall appear before the Court as and when the matter is taken up for hearing.
- (b) The petitioner shall provide mobile number to the IO concerned which shall be kept in working condition at all times and the petitioner shall not change the mobile number without prior intimation to the Investigating Officer concerned.
- (c) The petitioner shall not come in contact with the witnesses or tamper with any evidence.

12. It is clarified that the observations made hereinabove are only for the limited purpose of deciding the present bail application and the same shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on merits of the case.

13. The petition is disposed of in the above terms.

14. Copy of the order be forwarded to the concerned Jail Superintendent for necessary compliance.

15. Pending application stands disposed of.

16. Order *dasti* under signatures of the Court Master.

VIKAS MAHAJAN, J

NOVEMBER 11, 2025

N.S. ASWAL