



\$~13

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **ARB.P. 1414/2025**
MR. D. CHANDRAMOHANPetitioner
Through: Mr. Aditya Rawat and Mr. B.
Karunakaran, Advocates.

versus

M/S THE TRIDENT TECHLABS LTDRespondent
Through: Mr. Neeraj Kumar and Ms. Upasana
Singh, Advocates.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JYOTI SINGH

ORDER

% **14.10.2025**

I.A. 22267/2025 (Exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.

ARB.P. 1414/2025

3. This petition is filed by the Petitioner under Section 11(6) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 for appointment of a Sole Arbitrator to adjudicate the disputes between the parties.
4. Learned counsel for the Respondent takes a preliminary objection to the maintainability of this petition on the ground that neither in the notice invoking arbitration clause nor in the petition, any claims have been made with respect to the salary which the Petitioner's counsel orally claims is the dispute requiring reference to arbitration.
5. Having perused the notice invoking arbitration as also the petition, this Court finds merit in the objection. However, it is settled that a party cannot be left remediless.



6. Accordingly, this petition is dismissed permitting the Petitioner to invoke fresh arbitration with respect to the alleged salary dues towards it from the Respondent in accordance with law.

JYOTI SINGH, J

OCTOBER 14, 2025/RW