



\$~138

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 13901/2025 and CM APPL. 56944/2025

MADHURI

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Manish Kr. Saran, Ms. Ananya Tyagi, Mr. Aditya Saran and Mr. Sidhant Sharma, Advocates.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Jagdish Chandra Solanki, CGSC with Mr. Sankalp Mishra, Govt. Pleader for R1.

Mr. T. Singhdev, Ms. Anum Hussain and Mr. Abhijit Chakravarty, Advocates for R2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS MAHAJAN

ORDER

%

10.09.2025

1. The present petition has been filed by petitioner seeking following reliefs:

“a. Issue a Writ in the nature of Mandamus or any other appropriate Writ/ s, Order Is or Direction/s directing Respondents more particularly Respondent No. 2, National Medical Commission to forthwith take a decision on All the Applications for enhancement of seats and establishment of new medical colleges for the Academic Session 2025-26 received pursuant to Public Notice dated 19.12.2024 pending consideration before, it in strict accordance with law and the timelines already laid down in the statute.

b. Direct the Respondents to submit a compliance report before this Hon'ble Court indicating the steps taken and the timelines within which decision in respect to the enhancement seats shall be completed;



c. Pass appropriate order(s) to ensure that the ongoing counseling and admission schedule accommodates any additional seats, if made available upon enhancement of seats so that eligible aspirants, including the Petitioner, are not deprived of admission in the current academic Session 2025-26 if otherwise eligible and within the zone of consideration as per merit list”

2. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that grievance of the petitioner is that various colleges have applied to the respondent no.2/National Medical Commission for enhancement of seats and for establishment of new medical colleges for the academic session 2025-2026 pursuant to the public notice dated 19.12.2024 issued by respondent no.2. He submits that since respondent no.2 has not taken decision expeditiously, same has reduced petitioner's chances of getting admission in such colleges.
3. The petitioner has scored 206 marks out of 720 and she is eligible for admission in the ongoing counselling only as per the seat matrix available and notified by the respondent no.2.
4. Mr. T. Singhdev, learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent no.2 submits that there is statutory regime in place for consideration of application seeking enhancement of seats as well as for establishment of new colleges, in the form of NMC Act, 2019 and the statutory regulations made thereunder.
5. He submits that as and when any application is made by any institute for enhancement of seats or for establishment of new college, same is considered as per the said regime.
6. He submits no specific college is mentioned in the present petition.
7. He further submits that on the basis of her marks which petitioner has



scored, if at all she would have any claim to a seat in the private medical college, that would be only in the State of Jharkhand. He contends that no college from that State has been mentioned in the present petition whose application is pending consideration before the NMC.

8. In view of the aforesaid facts, this Court finds that the petitioner has no *locus standi* to maintain the writ petition with the prayer as sought nor she has shown any right, statutory or otherwise, which has been infringed.

9. The petition is thus, devoid of merit and is accordingly, dismissed in *limine*.

10. Pending application stands disposed of.

VIKAS MAHAJAN, J

SEPTEMBER 10, 2025/jg