



\$~66

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 6345/2025

BALPREET SINGH SRI AND ORS

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Akshya and Mr. Ashish Sheoran,
Advocates along with petitioners in
person.

versus

THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANRRespondents

Through: Mr. Hitesh Vali, APP for State with
SI Chandrika Devi.
Dr. RS Sasan, Advocate for R-2 and
R-2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

% **09.09.2025**

1. By way of the present petition, the petitioners seek quashing of the FIR bearing No. 0637/2024, registered at Police Station Hari Nagar, Delhi, for the commission of offences punishable under Sections 498A/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereafter '*IPC*').
2. Issue notice. The learned APP accepts notice on behalf of the State.
3. All the petitioners and respondent no. 2 are present before this Court and have been identified by their counsel and Investigating Officer (IO) concerned.
4. Brief facts of the case are that the marriage between the petitioner no. 1 and the respondent no. 2 was solemnized at New Delhi on 24.11.2002, in



accordance with Sikh rites and ceremonies. It is stated that two children were born out of the said wedlock. It is further stated that due to various differences, disputes and issues had arisen between the petitioners and the respondent no. 2. Upon the complaint by respondent no. 2, the present FIR came to be registered against the petitioners. It is stated that with intervention of the family and friends, the parties have now amicably settled their dispute *vide* Settlement Agreement dated 03.04.2025, executed at Delhi Mediation Centre, Dwarka Courts, New Delhi.

5. On a query made by this Court, respondent no. 2 who has been identified by the IO, has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise out of her own free will and without any pressure, coercion or threat. Therefore, she has no objection if the FIR is quashed.

6. In view of the above, that the parties have amicably resolved their differences of their own free will, and without any coercion, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. It would thus be in interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question subject to the protection of interest of minor child as per the judgment titled as ***Ganesh vs. Sudhirkumar Shrivastava: (2020) 20 SCC 787.***

7. Accordingly, FIR bearing No. 0637/2024, registered at Police Station Hari Nagar, Delhi, for the commission of offences punishable under Sections 498A/34 of IPC and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.



8. The present petition stands disposed of.
9. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

SEPTEMBER 09, 2025/vc